# CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION OUTCOMES

## **2017 ELECTIONS**

## **City Offices and Ballot Measures**





Institute for Social Research Center For California Studies California State University, Sacramento



## CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION OUTCOMES: CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES, 2017 ELECTIONS

## CITY OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES

Leonor Ehling, M.P.P. Executive Director, Center for California Studies

Shannon A. Williams, Ph.D. Director, Institute for Social Research

Valory Messier, M.A. Research Specialist, Institute for Social Research

Eduardo Lievanos, B.A. Graduate Research Analyst, Institute for Social Research

Center for California Studies California State University, Sacramento 6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6081 (916) 278-6906 FAX: (916) 278-5199 Institute for Social Research California State University, Sacramento 6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6101 (916) 278-5737 FAX: (916) 278-5150

## Acknowledgements

The researchers would like to sincerely thank the county elections officials and staff throughout the State of California who took time to provide data to the project. Additionally, we are grateful to the Secretary of State's Office for its continuing support and interest in this project. Without their assistance, the completion of these yearly reviews and the California Elections Data Archive would not be possible.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction
2017 County, City and School District Election Dates by Countyi
Trend Table A Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing b Type, Jurisdiction, and Yeari
Trend Table B Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing b Topic, Jurisdiction, and Yeari
Trend Table C Community Service District and County Service Area Measures by Countyxi
Trend Table D Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Measures, Percer of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Type and Yearxvi
Trend Table E Comparison of Pass Rates for County-Wide and Community Service District/Count Service Area Tax Measures, 1998-2017xi
Trend Table F Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Measures, Percer of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic & Yearx
Trend Table G Number of Candidates by Jurisdiction and Yearxx
Trend Table H Number of Candidates for Major County Offices by Yearxx
Trend Table I Percent of Incumbent Candidates and Percent of Prevailing Incumbents by Majo Office, Jurisdiction and Yearxxi
2017 Election Series Summary: Election Outcomes for County, City, and School District Ballot Measures and Candidates
Table A Summary of Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2017
Table B Summary of Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure and County, 2017
Table C Summary of Election Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Offices, 2017
Part 1 Vote Totals, Election Outcomes and Text for City Ballot Measures
Table 1.1 Vote Totals for City Ballot Measures by County, 2017
Table 1.2 Text for City Ballot Measures by County, 20171
Table 1.3 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County 201720
Table 1.4 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure and County 20172
Part 2 Vote Totals for City Office Candidates2
Table 2.1 Vote Totals for City Office Candidates by County and Election Date, 201729
Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Offices, 2017

#### CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS DATA ARCHIVE

#### INTRODUCTION

The California Elections Data Archive (CEDA) is a joint project of the Center for California Studies, and the Institute for Social Research (ISR), at the California State University, Sacramento, and the office of the California Secretary of State. The purpose of CEDA is to provide researchers, citizens, public agencies, and other interested parties with a single repository of local election data. With over 6,000 local jurisdictions in California, the task of monitoring local elections is nearly impossible for individuals. CEDA addresses this problem through the creation of a single, cost-effective, and easily accessible source of local election data. CEDA includes candidate, and ballot measure results for county, city, community college, and school district elections throughout the State. CEDA thus represents the only comprehensive repository of local election results in California and one of a very few such databases on local elections in the U.S.

#### How the CEDA Data is Collected and Reported

ISR staff collects election data periodically throughout each calendar year. This enables CEDA to incorporate results from special elections as well as all regularly scheduled elections. ISR staff enters election results from counties, cities, community colleges, and school districts into the CEDA database and then uses this database to generate three standard CEDA reports. These reports include:

- County Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected county offices;
   vote totals and text for county ballot measures.
- City Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected city offices; vote totals, and text for all city ballot measures.
- Community College and School District Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elective community college and school district offices; vote totals and text for all district ballot measures.

ISR staff codes ballot measures for all jurisdictions according to type (e.g., charter amendment, taxes, bond measure, initiative, etc.) and to topic (e.g., education, public safety, governance, etc.).

ii — CALIFORNIA ELECTION OUTCOMES

#### THE CEDA PARTNERSHIP

#### THE CENTER FOR CALIFORNIA STUDIES

Located at California State University, Sacramento, the Center for California Studies is a public policy, public service, and curricular support unit of the California State University. The Center's location in the state Capital and its ability to draw upon the resources of the entire State University system give it a unique capacity for making contributions to public policy development, and the public life of California. Center programs cover four broad areas: administration of the nationally known Assembly, Senate, Executive, and Judicial Administration Fellowship Programs; university-state government liaison and applied policy research; civic education and community service through forums, conferences, and issue dialogues; and curricular support activity in the interdisciplinary field of California Studies.

#### INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH

Established in 1989, the Institute for Social Research (ISR) at California State University, Sacramento (CSUS) is a multidisciplinary institute that is committed to advancing the understanding of the social world through applied research. The Institute offers research expertise and technical assistance serving as a resource to agencies, organizations, the University, and the broader community. Utilizing quantitative and qualitative methods, ISR produces various types of assessments, program and policy evaluations, survey research, workload studies, and specialized analyses. Services include research design, sampling design, data collection and coding, computer-assisted telephone and field interviewing, mailed and online surveys, focus groups, database management, and statistical analysis. ISR has completed hundreds of projects with more than 50 federal, state and community agencies, private firms, and many academic units. Faculty affiliates of the Institute offer specific content expertise in a wide variety of disciplines, including the social sciences, health and human services, and education.

#### CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE

Among their other duties, the Secretary of State acts as California's chief elections officer with the responsibility of administering the provisions of the Elections Code. The Secretary must compile state election returns, and issue certificates of election to winning candidates; compile the returns and certify the results of initiative and referendum elections; certify acts delayed by referendum, and prepare and file a statement of vote. Recent legislation permits but does not mandate that the Secretary of State compile local election results.

## 2017 COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

2017 0001111	1/24	2/28	3/7	3/28	4/4	4/11	4/18	4/25	5/2	5/16	6/6	7/11	7/25	8/22	8/29	11/7
Alameda	1/27	2/20	<i>√</i>	3/20	7/7	7/11	7/10	7/20	√	3/10	0/0	7/11	1125	UIZZ	√ V	1 1//
Calaveras									<b>✓</b>						•	
Colusa									<b>Y</b>							1
El Dorado											✓					<b>✓</b>
Fresno			1								•				✓	<b>✓</b>
Glenn			•												•	<b>✓</b>
Humboldt																<b>✓</b>
																<b>✓</b>
Imperial																<b>∀</b>
Inyo											✓		✓			•
Kern													•			
Kings											✓					
Los Angeles		✓	<b>✓</b>		✓	✓	✓			✓	✓					✓
Madera			<b>V</b>													
Marin									✓							<b>√</b>
Mendocino																<b>√</b>
Merced																<b>√</b>
Monterey	✓								✓		✓				✓	✓
Nevada			✓													
Plumas											✓					
Riverside									✓		✓					✓
San Bernardino			✓								✓	✓				✓
San Diego																✓
San Luis Obispo														✓		
San Mateo			✓	✓	✓						✓					✓
Santa Barbara																✓
Santa Clara			✓					✓	✓							
Santa Cruz			✓													
Shasta															✓	
Siskiyou																✓
Sonoma			✓								✓					✓
Stanislaus																✓
Trinity																✓
Tulare											✓					✓

2017 CITY OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES

CALIFORNIA ELECTION OUTCOMES

## TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	Al	l Measure	s		Bonds			Taxes		(	Ordinance			Recalls			Initiatives		Chart	er Amend	ment
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
All Measures																					
1995-2017	399	100	66	106	27	72	122	31	60	82	21	62	14	4	73	10	2	47	47	12	76
Even Years	608	100	67	172	28	75	179	29	58	129	21	62	15	3	71	15	3	49	70	12	76
Odd Years	208	100	63	46	22	61	70	34	64	40	19	62	13	6	74	5	2	43	26	12	77
County																					
1995-2017	64	16	58	2	3	72	26	41	45	20	30	64	2	3	75	3	4	44	7	10	66
Even Years	105	17	55	3	3	70	44	42	43	32	31	63	2	2	57	5	5	43	12	11	65
Odd Years	27	13	66	1	5	76	10	38	54	8	29	71	2	8	89	1	3	50	2	7	71
City																					
1995-2017	199	50	65	5	2	60	71	36	63	57	29	60	6	3	73	7	4	48	40	20	78
Even Years	301	49	66	8	3	64	108	36	62	90	30	61	9	3	72	10	3	51	59	19	78
Odd Years	105	51	65	2	2	48	37	35	65	27	26	56	4	4	76	4	4	41	24	23	78
School District																					
1995-2017	136	34	71	99	73	72	25	18	65	6	4	80	6	4	71	0	0	50			
Even Years	202	33	74	162	80	75	27	13	65	7	3	82	5	2	76	0	0	0			
Odd Years	76	36	64	42	55	61	22	29	66	5	6	77	7	9	67	0	0	100			

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

		Al	l Measure	es		Bonds			Taxes		(	Ordinance	)		Recalls			Initiatives		Charte	er Amendm	ent
		Number of Measures	,	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate		% of All Measures	Pass Rate			Pass Rate	Number of Measures		Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures Pa	ass Rate
	1995	283	100	37	91	36	47	26	10	35	46	18	61	8	3	88	8	3	50	55	22	93
	1996	573	100	57	64	11	59	142	25	40	176	31	58	32	6	72	18	3	39	115	20	73
	1997	342	100	60	127	37	59	100	29	56	45	13	69	29	8	38	7	2	71	31	9	81
	1998	572	100	61	144	25	58	162	28	48	115	20	58	19	3	74	9	2	56	94	16	77
	1999	283	100	60	107	38	59	54	19		68	24	57	14	5	71	10	4	40	20	7	50
	2000	559	100			24	60		22		154	28	58		2	100	21	4	67	79	14	67
	2001	233	100	70		31	75		29		33	14	58		9	71	1	0	100	25	11	60
	2002	657	100		-	37	76		24	-	136	21	54		1	63		2	40	77	12	77
"	2003	178	100	63	22	12	55		35		47	26	70	9	5	89	5	3	40	24	13	75
nreg	2004	712	100	63	-	25			36		144	20	64	11	2	73	14	2	29	72	10	79
eas	2005	295	100	64		19	74		38		59	20	54		4	82	7	2	43	35	12	89
All Measures	2006	556	100	62		33	59		26		123	22	63		3	29	22	4	36		7	82
4	2007	179	100	72		12	55		34		40	22	58		7	100	1	1	0	38	21	79
	2008	593 193	100 100	75	-	34	82	188	32		123	21 18	65	12 13	2	58	11	2	91	39	7 10	90
	2009	482	100	63 67	6 97	20	33 70		51 34		35 117	24	63 67	27	6	69 78	11	2	33 55	20 50	10	60 76
	2010	172	100	72	-	6		75	44		29	17	72	16	9	75	2	1	0	31	18	81
	2011	530	100	72		29	81	178	34		112	21	62	14	3	93	2	0	50	-	10	63
	2012	137	100	72		8	73		47		34	25	50	8	6	88	4	3	100	11	8	73
	2013	577	100	73		33		175	30		81	14	72		1	57	14	2	57	72	12	76
	2015	120	100	74		11	77	47	39		_	17	75		12	100	9	8	22	11	9	64
	2016	872	100	79		34	91	279	32		138	16	72		1	90	37	4	41	86	10	83
	2017	283	100	37	-	36		-	10	-		18		8	3	88	-	3	50		22	93

2017 CITY OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES—

CALIFORNIA ELECTION OUTCOMES

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

		Al	l Measure	es		Bonds			Taxes		(	Ordinance	)		Recalls			Initiatives		Chart	er Amend	ment
		Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate
	1995	17	7	53				6	35	33	2	12	0							6	35	83
	1996	114	20	44	3	3	33	34	30	26	41	36	54	5	4	80	7	6	14	17	15	47
	1997	24	7	63	7	29	57	7	29	71	4	17	100	2	8	50				4	17	25
	1998	125	22	59	1	1	0	53	42	40	32	26	75				4	3	25	25	20	76
	1999	38	13	63	1	3	100	21	55	48	8	21	63							4	11	100
	2000	116	21	49	6	5	83	51	44	27	28	24	50				8	7	88	8	7	38
	2001	37	16	73	3	8	100	14	38	71	11	30	64	4	11	75				1	3	0
(O	2002	98	15	56	5	5	20	38	39	45	39	40	67	1	1	0	2	2	50	7	7	71
sure	2003	28	16	64				12	43	25	15	54	100	1	4	0						
County Measures	2004	140	20	54	0	2	0	60	43	45	47	34	62	1	1	0	4	3	25	18	13	56
nty	2005	57	19	63	3	5	67	24	42	67	16	28	56	3	5	100	3	5	67	2	4	50
Sor	2006	95	17	52				45	47	40	30	32	60	4	4	25	2	2	50	6	6	83
	2007	29	16	76	1	3	100	3	10	67	16	55	63	8	28	100						
	2008	90	15	62	3	3	100	33	37	42	40	44	65	1	1	100	2	2	100	4	4	100
	2009	16	8	69				4	25	50	6	38	67	1	6	100				2	13	100
	2010	64	13	53	3	5	67	25	39	48	22	34	59	4	6	50	2	3	50	6	9	50
	2011	20	12	80	1	5	100	7	35	71	4	20	75	2	10	100				3	15	67
	2012	76	14	63	1	1	100	39	51	59	20	26	60	1	1	100	2	3	50	10	13	70
	2013	12	9	75				5	42	40				1	8	100	3	25	100	1	8	100
	2014	84	15	57	4	5	100	33	39	36	19	23	74	1	1	0	8	10	50	12	14	92
	2015	34	28	62	1	3	100	11	32	45	8	24	88	5	15	100	4	12	0	1	3	100
	2016	151	17	59	4	3	100	68	45	56	38	25	66	3	2	100	12	8	25	17	11	59
	2017	14	12	57				11	79	55	3	21	67									

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

			Measure			Bonds			Taxes			Ordinance	)		Recalls			Initiatives	3		rter Amen	dment
			% of All Measures		Number of Measures	% of All Measures		Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate
	1995	119	47	71	4	3	75	7	6	29	38	32	58				7	6	43	49	41	94
	1996	374	65	60	10	3	30	100	27	43	115	31	59	24	6	79	11	3	55	98	26	78
	1997	144	42	58	2	1	50	70	49	50	28	19	54	9	6	22	7	5	71	27	19	89
	1998	283	49	60	9	3	78	99	35	47	78	28	53	7	2	43	5	2	80	69	24	77
	1999	114	40	54	4	4	75	22	19	55	48	42	48	8	7	100	10	9	40	16	14	38
	2000	297	53	60	11	4	82	65	22	45	113	38	56	6	2	100	13	4	54	71	24	70
	2001	93	40	69	8	9	63	31	33	74	18	19	61	3	3	100	1	1	100	24	26	63
	2002	309	47	60	12	4	83	102	33	58	94	30	48	5	2	60	8	3	38	70	23	77
ıres	2003	89	50	67	2	2	50	14	16	71	29	33	55	6	7	100	5	6	40	24	27	75
City Measures	2004	337	47	59	7	2	43	147	44	46	92	27	63	6	2	67	10	3	30	54	16	87
ity M	2005	135	46	61	2	1	0	47	35	55	37	27	51	3	2	33	4	3	25	33	24	91
O	2006	253	46	64	10	4	50	82	32	70	85	34	61	6	2	17	20	8	35	33	13	82
	2007	108	60	71	2	2	0	40	37	73	19	18	53	5	5	100	1	1	0	38	35	79
	2008	258	44	73	5	2	100	111	43	71	80	31	65	8	3	38	9	3	89	35	14	89
	2009	130	67	61	1	1	0	63	48	68	28	22	61	3	2	33	3	2	33	18	14	56
	2010	270	56	71	2	1	0	95	35	69	91	34	67	16	6	94	8	3	63	44	16	80
	2011	105	61	74				37	35	65	23	22	70	10	10	100	2	2	0	28	27	82
	2012	248	47	67	5	2	20	93	38	72	83	33	58	12	5	92				41	17	61
	2013	90	66	68				44	49	77	30	33	53	3	3	67	1	1	100	10	11	70
	2014	285	49	71	5	2	100	121	42	72	60	21	70	5	2	60	6	2	67	60	21	73
	2015	54	45	70	2	4	0	23	43	87	12	22	67				5	9	40	10	19	60
	2016	393	45	77	9	2	67	174	44	79	96	24	74	1	0	100	25	6	48	69	18	88
	2017	81	72	65	2	2	50	50	62	66	17	21	65	1	1	100	3	4	0	7	9	86

viii — CALIFORNIA ELECTION OUTCOMES

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

		All	Measure	s		Bonds			Taxes		(	Ordinance	)		Recalls			Initiatives	3	Charter Amendment
		Number of Measures			Number of Measures	% of All Measures		Number of Measures	% of All Measures		Number of Measures		Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures		Pass Rate	Number of % of All Measures Measures Pass Rate
	1995	117	46	52	87	74	46	13	11	38					7					
	1996	85	15	62	51	60	67	8	9	63	20	24		3	4	0				
	1997	174	51	62	118	68	59	23	13					18	10	44				
	1998	164	29	62	134	82	57	10	6	100	5	3	40	12	7	92				
	1999	131	46	62	102	78	58	11	8	82	12	9	92	6	5	33				
	2000	146	26	63	118	81	57	6	4	67	13	9	92	5	3	100				
	2001	103	44	71	62	60	76	23	22	70	4	4	25	14	14	64				
ries	2002	250	38	76	228	91	77	15	6	53	3	1	100	2	1	100				
leası	2003	61	34	52	20	33	55	36	59	47	3	5	67	2	3	100				
School District Measures	2004	235	33	73	172	73	77	51	22	53	5	2	100	4	2	100				
Distr	2005	103	35	69	52	50	77	40	39	55	6	6	67	5	5	100				
loor	2006	208	37	58	175	84	59	15	7	27	8	4	88	7	3	43				
Scl	2007	42	23	67	19	45	58	18	43	78	5	12	60							
	2008	245	41	80	193	79	81	44	18	75	3	1	67	3	1	100				
	2009	47	24	66	5	11	40	32	68	66	1	2	100	9	19	78				
	2010	148	31	64	92	62	72	44	30	45	4	3	100	7	5	57	1	1	0	
	2011	47	27	64	9	19	78	31	66	68	2	4	100	4	9	0				
	2012	206	39	82	150	73	83	46	22	72	9	4	100	1	0	100				
	2013	35	26	80	11	31	73	16	46	94	4	11	25	4	11	100				
	2014	208	36	83	184	88	80	21	10	100	2	1	100	1	0	100				
	2015	32	27	94	10	31	90	13	41	92	0	0	0	9	28	100				
	2016	328	38	90	281	86	92	37	11	78	4	0	0	6	2	83				
	2017	18	16	56	6	33	33	12	67	67	0	0	0							

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	All	Measure	s	Е	ducation		Go	vernance	Э	L	and Use		Pul	blic Safet	Зу	Publ	ic Faciliti	es	Gene	ral Servi	ces	Tra	nsportatio	n	R	evenue	
•	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
All Measure	es																										
1995-2017	399	100	66	137	34	71	87	22	70	33	8	56	24	6	56	18	5	56	13	3	65	11	3	53	56	14	67
Even Years	608	100	67	203	33	74	131	22	69	51	8	58	37	6	57	29	5	54	17	3	65	17	3	55	88	14	64
Odd Years	208	100	65	75	36	64	46	22	74	17	8	50	11	5	54	8	4	62	10	5	63	5	2	47	28	13	74
County																											
1995-2017	64	16	58	0	1	82	17	27	69	8	12	44	8	12	46	7	11	53	4	6	65	7	11	54	8	12	50
Even Years	105	17	55	1	1	71	27	26	66	14	13	45	13	13	45	11	10	46	6	5	62	11	11	53	13	12	49
Odd Years	27	13	66	0	1	100	8	31	78	2	8	41	3	11	50	3	12	74	3	10	70	3	11	60	3	10	55
City																											
1995-2017	199	50	65	1	1	72	69	35	70	25	13	60	16	8	62	11	6	59	9	4	65	4	2	50	49	24	70
Even Years	301	49	66	2	1	78	104	35	70	37	12	64	24	8	64	18	6	59	11	4	68	6	2	58	74	25	67
Odd Years	105	51	65	1	1	57	37	35	73	15	14	52	8	8	56	5	5	57	7	6	60	2	2	26	25	24	77
School Dist	rict																										
1995-2017	136	34	71	133	98	68	1	1	68							0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50			
Even Years	202	33	74	197	98	70	0	0	40										0	0	0	0	0	50			
Odd Years	76	36	64	75	98	64	1	2	79							0	0	0									

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

		All	Measure	es	-	Education		Go	vernance	)	L	and Use		Pi	ublic Safet	у	Pub	lic Facilit	ies	Ger	neral Serv	ices	Tra	ansportati	on		Revenue	
			% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing		% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
	1995	253	100	61	121	48	54	63	25	84	16	6	63	12	5	50	14	6	50				2	1	0	5	2	60
	1996	573	100	57	87	15	64	214	37	66	54	9	56	39	7	51	38	7	37	10	2	40	8	1	50	87	15	46
	1997	342	100	60	175	51	62	43	13	67	19	6	68	12	4	42	15	4	40	38	11	61	4	1	50	10	3	70
	1998	572	100	60	158	28	63	131	23	64	46	8	70	41	7	49	32	6	56	28	5	82	23	4	70	75	13	43
	1999	283	100	59	119	42	59	62	22	63	29	10	41	14	5	57	4	1	75	14	5	57	8	3	88	23	8	65
	2000	559	100	59	151	27	63	141	25	64	73	13	55	32	6	50	39	7	67	20	4	55	21	4	43	5	1	20
	2001	233	100	70	105	45	71	46	20	67	7	3	71	11	5	73	19	8	58	7	3	71	4	2	25	31	13	87
	2002	657	100	65	250	38	76	144	22	66	44	7	43	42	6	57	35	5	49	20	3	60	10	2	40	85	13	62
es	2003	178	100	62	61	34	52	52	29	73	15	8	60	12	7	50	5	3	60	6	3	100	8	4	38	13	7	62
All Measures	2004	712	100	62	238	33	72	139	20	73	58	8	52	55	8	47	37	5	38	23	3	70	25	4	76	110	15	47
₩	2005	295	100	64	102	35	70	61	21	70	28	9	39	18	6	44	14	5	64	18	6	67	13	4	62	33	11	70
	2006	556	100	60	208	37	58	109	20	60	51	9	61	37	7	73	22	4	41	12	2	58	22	4	50	61	11	62
	2007	179	100	71	42	23	67	63	35	81	18	10	39	5	3	100	8	4	88	7	4	86	4	2	25	31	17	68
	2008	593	100	74	246	41	80	99	17	74	43	7	72	39	7	49	32	5	66	10	2	80	14	2	50	92	16	77
	2009	193	100	63	47	24	66	42	22	64	17	9	47	10	5	60	7	4	86	8	4	25	2	1	0	56	29	71
	2010	482	100	66	149	31	64	138	29	74	30	6	47	27	6	67	12	2	75	9	2	56	7	1	71	95	20	65
	2011	172	100	72	48	28	65	59	34	81	8	5	75	9	5	56	5	3	100	4	2	50	2	1	50	34	20	74
	2012	530	100	72	209	39	82	98	18	71	23	4	57	13	2	38	23	4	57	11	2	73	12	2	50	99	19	79
	2013	137	100	72	35	26	80	28	20	71	14	10	50	9	7	67				4	3	50	4	3	50	39	28	79
	2014	577	100	73	208	36	83	114	20	75	45	8	60	33	6	70	20	3	85	13	2	85	19	3	47	104	18	64
	2015	120	100	74	32	27	94	23	19	83	17	14	35	8	7	50	5	4	60	2	2	100	3	3	33	21	18	86
	2016	872	100	79	331	38	90	116	13	78	99	11	61	53	6	70	30	3	50	26	3	54	29	3	48	150	17	85
	2017	113	100	63	18	16	56	13	12	85	13	12	54	15	13	40	5	4	60	6	5	67	4	4	25	36	32	81

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

		Al	l Measure	es		Education		Go	vernance	)	L	and Use		Pu	ublic Safety	/	Pub	lic Facilit	ies	Ger	neral Serv	ices	Tra	ansportation	on	F	Revenue	
		Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing			Percent Passing
	1995	17	7	53				7	41	71	3	18	33				3	18	67				1	6	0	3	18	33
	1996	114	20	44	1	1	100	44	39	59	12	11	33	8	7	38	16	14	13	1	1	100	4	4	75	16	14	31
	1997	24	7	63	1	4	100	5	21	60	3	13	100	2	8	0	5	21	40	5	21	80	1	4	100	1	4	0
	1998	125	22	59				25	20	76	13	10	62	14	11	36	12	10	33	18	14	72	16	13	75	12	10	25
	1999	38	13	63				5	13	80				3	8	33	3	8	67	7	18	29	8	21	88	7	18	86
	2000	116	21	49	1	1	100	22	19	64	17	15	35	14	12	36	16	14	44	8	7	63	16	14	44	3	3	33
	2001	37	16	73	2	5	100	12	32	58	1	3	100	7	19	100	6	16	67	4	11	75	1	3	0	4	11	75
	2002	98	15	56				34	35	71	7	7	71	15	15	33	11	11	36	7	7	57	5	5	40	12	12	67
County Measures	2003	28	16	64				10	36	90				5	18	40				2	7	100	2	7	50	6	21	17
Mea	2004	140	20	54	3	2	33	32	23	66	14	10	14	22	16	50	13	9	54	4	3	50	21	15	76	17	12	41
unty	2005	57	19	63				12	21	67	6	11	33	6	11	33	8	14	75	9	16	78	9	16	78	4	7	50
ŏ	2006	95	17	52				28	29	54	10	11	70	11	12	55	8	8	38	2	2	50	15	16	40	12	13	33
	2007	29	16	76				14	48	93	5	17	0				5	17	100	2	7	100	2	7	50			
	2008	90	15	62	1	1	100	25	28	76	7	8	86	14	16	43	14	16	50	4	4	75	7	8	57	11	12	64
	2009	16	8	69				7	44	86	3	19	33				3	19	100				1	6	0	2	13	50
	2010	64	13	53				23	36	57	6	9	50	12	19	50	2	3	100	1	2	0	5	8	80	11	17	45
	2011	20	12	80	1	5		10	50	80				5	25	60	1	5	100				1	5	100	2	10	100
	2012	76	14	63	1	1	100	19	25	74	7	9	43	7	9	43	12	16	67	1	1	100	5	7	40	18	24	72
	2013	12	9	75				4	33	100	2	17	100	2	17	50							3	25	33			
	2014	84	15	57				20	24	85	15	18	60	10	12	50	9	11	78		4	33	11	13	27	9	11	22
	2015	34	28	62				12	35	83	4	12	25	5	15	40	2	6	50		3	100	3	9	33	2	6	50
	2016	151	17	59				28	19	54	44	29	34	21	14	57	7	5	57	12	8	58	18	12	33	19	13	74
	2017	14	12	57				3	21	67				1	7	0	2	14	100	3	21	67	3	21	33	2	14	50

KIÍ — CALIFORNIA ELECTION OUTCOMES

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

		All	Measure	es		Educat	ion		Gov	/ernance		L	and Use		P	ublic Safet	у	Pul	olic Facilit	ies	Ge	neral Servi	ices	Tra	ansportati	on		Revenue	
			% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number Measure			cent		% of All Measures	Percent Passing		% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures		Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures		Percent Passing
	1995	119	47	71		4	3	100	56	47	86	13	11	69	12	10	50	11	9	45				1	1	0	2	2	100
	1996	374	65	60		2	1	100	170	45	68	42	11	62	31	8	55	22	6	55	8	2	38	4	1	25	71	19	49
	1997	144	42	58					38	26	68	16	11	63	10	7	50	10	7	40	33	23	58	3	2	33	9	6	78
	1998	283	49	60					101	36	62	33	12	73	27	10	56	20	7	70	10	4	100	7	2	57	62	22	47
	1999	114	40	54					45	39	53	29	25	41	11	10	64	1	1	100	7	6	86				16	14	56
	2000	297	53	60		7	2	71	119	40	64	56	19	61	18	6	61	23	8	83	12	4	50	5	2	40	2	1	0
	2001	93	40	69		3	3	0	33	35	73	6	6	67	4	4	25	11	12	64	3	3	67	3	3	33	27	29	89
	2002	309	47	60		1	0	0	110	36	65	37	12	38	27	9	70	24	8	54	13	4	62	5	2	40	72	23	63
ures	2003	89	50	67					42	47	69	15	17	60	7	8	57	5	6	60	4	4	100	6	7	33	7	8	100
City Measures	2004	337	47	59		2	1	100	107	32	75	44	13	64	33	10	45	24	7	29	19	6	74	4	1	75	91	27	47
City I	2005	135	46	61					48	36	73	22	16	41	12	9	50	6	4	50	9	7	56	4	3	25	29	21	72
	2006	253	46	64					81	32	62	41	16	59	26	10	81	14	6	43	10	4	60	7	3	71	49	19	69
	2007	108	60	71					49	45	78 	13	12	54	5	5	100	3	3	67	5	5	80	2	2	0	31	29	68
	2008	258	44	73					74	29	73	36	14	69	25	10	52	18	7	78	6	2	83	1	3	43	81	31	79
	2009	130	67	61		4	^	400	35	27	60	14	11	50	10	8	60	4	3	75		6	25	1	1	50	54	42	72
	2010	270	56	71		1	0	100	115	43	77	0	-	7.5	15	6	80	10	4	70	8	3	63	2	1	50	84	31	68
	2011	107	62	75 67		0	4	100	51	48	82	8	- /	75	4	4	50	4	4	100	4	4	50	7	1	- U	32	30	72
	2012	248	47	67		2		100	89	36	63 67	16	6	63	6	2	33 71	11	4	45	10	4	70	1	3	57	81	33	80
	2013	90	66	68					24	27		12	13	42	7	8		11	4	01	10	4	50		3	100	39	43	79
	2014	285 54	49 45	71 70					94	33 20	72 82	30 13	11 24	60 38	23	8	78 67	11	6	91 67	10	2	100	8	3	75	95 19	33 35	68 89
	2016	393	45	70		3	1	67	88	22	85	70	18	64	32	8	78	23	6	48	14	1	50	11	3	73	131	33	86
	2017	81	72	65		J		01	10	12	90	13	16	54	14	17	43	3	1	33	3	4	67	1	1		34	42	82

## TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

		All	Measure	es		Education		Go	vernance	)		Land Use		Р	ublic Safe	ty	Pι	ıblic Facili	ties	Ge	neral Sen	rices	Ti	ansportat	on		Revenue	
		Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures		Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures		Percent Passing
	1995	117	46	52	117	100	52																					
	1996	85	15	62	84	99	63													1	1	C						
	1997	174	51	62	174	100	62																					
	1998	164	29	62	158	96	63	5	3	40													1	1	0			
	1999	131	46	62	119	91	59	12	9	92																		
	2000	146	26	63	143	98	62																					
	2001	103	44	71	100	97	73	1	1	0							2	2	0									
es	2002	250	38	76	249	100	76																1	0	0			
School District Measures	2003	61	34	52	61	100	52																					
ict W	2004	235	33	73	233	99	73																2	1	100			
Distr	2005	103	35	69	102	99	70	1	1	0																		
chool	2006	208	37	58	208	100	58																					
ഗ്	2007	42	23	67	42	100	67																					
	2008	245	41	80	245	100	80																					
	2009	47	24	66	47	100	66																					
	2010	148	31	64	148	100	64																					
	2011	47	27	64	47	100	64																					
	2012	206	39	82	168	82	23																					
	2013	35	26	80	35	100	80																					
	2014	208	36	83	208	100	83																					
	2015	32	27	94	32	100	94																					
	2016	328	38	90	328	100	90																					
	2017	18	16	56	18	100	56																					

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY

		1998			1999			2000			2001			2002	
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Butte	2	67	100		,,,		1	100	100		,,,	. accg	.,	,,,	
Calaveras															
Colusa															
Contra Costa	2	67	50				4	80	25	1	100	100	2	100	50
El Dorado	2	12	50				7	78	29				1	50	100
Fresno	1	50	100												
Humboldt															
Imperial															
Inyo							1	50	100						
Kern	6	100	50				2	100	0	4	100	75			
Lake															
Lassen							1	33	0				4	80	25
Los Angeles															
Marin				4	100	100	5	100	80	10	91	90	3	100	100
Mendocino				1	100	0							1	100	0
Modoc						-									
Monterey													1	100	0
Nevada							1	100	100						-
Orange	1	100	100												
Placer	1	33	100												
Plumas							1	100	100	2	100	100			
Riverside	2	40	50	8	100	38				2	100	0	2	67	50
Sacramento	2	40	100				3	75	33	_					
San Bernardino	2	100	50				3	100	67				1	100	0
San Diego	10	83	30				3	60	33	1	100	100	2	40	0
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo				5	100	100	1	33	0				1	50	100
San Mateo									·						
Santa Barbara										1	100	0			
Santa Cruz															
Shasta							1	100	0						
Siskiyou							2	100	100						
Sonoma							1	20	100	1	100	100			
Stanislaus															
Sutter				1	100	0									
Trinity						·									
Tulare															
Tuolumne				1	100	0	1	33	0						
Yolo					100										
Yuba							2	67	50						
Total for CSD/CSA								<u> </u>	00						
Measure Over All															
Counties	31	25	55	20	53	60	40	34	48	22	59	77	18	18	44

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

TREND TABLE C	I	2003	ZITTIOL	Diomai	2004	5 <b>0</b> 0011	TT OLIV	2005		, toorte	2006	0011111	CONTIN	2007	
			%			%			%			%			%
	N	%	Passing	N	%	Passing	N	%	Passing	N	%	Passing	N	%	Passing
Butte															_
Calaveras							3	100	100				1	50	0
Colusa															
Contra Costa				3	60	67	3	100	100	1	33	100	2	100	100
El Dorado	6	100	17	2	20	100	14	88	64	2	100	0	3	100	100
Fresno															
Humboldt				1	25	100	2	100	0						
Imperial							1	50	100						
Inyo															
Kern				3	100	33				1	33	100			
Lake				1	100	0									
Lassen				1	100	100				1	100	0			
Los Angeles															
Marin	1	100	100				2	100	100	4	100	100	1	100	100
Mendocino				1	50	100									
Modoc															
Monterey															
Nevada															
Orange	1	100	100												
Placer															
Plumas	1	50	100												
Riverside	2	100	0	2	100	100									
Sacramento	_		_	_											
San Bernardino	1	100	0	1	50	0				1	33	100			
San Diego				3	33	33				1	20	100			
San Joaquin						- 00							1	100	100
San Luis Obispo				4	67	50	4	100	100	5	83	40			
San Mateo				1	14	100									
Santa Barbara										1	25	0			
Santa Cruz							1	100	0			Ū			
Shasta								100							
Siskiyou				5	83	0				2	100	0			
Sonoma	1	100	100							1	50	0			
Stanislaus		100	100							1	50	0			
Sutter										1	100	0			
Trinity										2	100	100			
Tulare				1	100	100	1	100	100	2	100	100			
Tuolumne					100	100		100	100						
Yolo															
Yuba				1	25	0									
Total for CSD/CSA				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	20	U									
Measure Over All															
Counties	13	46	38	30	21	50	31	54	74	24	25	50	8	28	88

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

TREND TABLE C		2008		1	2009			2010			2011		0011111	2012	
			%			%			%			%			%
	N	%	Passing	N	%	Passing	N	%	Passing	N	%	Passing	N	%	Passing
Butte															
Calaveras													1	50	100
Colusa															
Contra Costa	3	100	67				1	50	100	2	100	100	1	100	100
El Dorado	1	50	0	1	100	0	3	75	67				1	50	0
Fresno										2	100	100			
Humboldt	1	100	0							1	100	100			
Imperial															
Inyo															
Kern							1	100	0						
Lake	1	100	100												
Lassen													1	100	0
Los Angeles															
Marin	3	75	100	1	100	100	7	88	43	3	100	100	1	50	100
Mendocino													1	33	0
Modoc															
Monterey															
Nevada													1	100	0
Orange															
Placer	1	33	0										1	100	0
Plumas										1	100	0			
Riverside							1	25	0						
Sacramento	1	100	100												
San Bernardino	1	50	100	1	100	100				1	100	100			
San Diego															
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo													1	50	100
San Mateo	1	25	100										1	100	100
Santa Barbara				1	100	100							1	14	100
Santa Cruz															
Shasta				1	100	100	2	100	0						
Siskiyou	3	75	33												
Sonoma													1	100	0
Stanislaus	1	25	0												
Sutter															
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne	1	100	100										1	100	100
Yolo				1	100	100							1	100	0
Yuba															
Total for CSD/CSA															
Measure Over All															
Counties	18	20	61	6	38	83	15	23	40	10	50	90	14	18	50

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

TREND TABLE C	COMINIC		ERVICE	ואופוע		D COON	IT SEK		KEA IVIE	ASURE		OUNTY	CONTIN		
		2013	1 0/		2014	l 0/		2015	1 0/		2016	0/		2017	0/
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Butte															
Calaveras										1	20	0	2	100	50
Colusa													1	0	0
Contra Costa										1	33	100			
El Dorado	2	100	50	5	45	60	5	83	40	4	50	0	2	100	0
Fresno															
Humboldt	1	100	100												
Imperial				2	100	0									
Inyo															
Kern	1	25	0				1	50	100	1	50	0			
Lake															
Lassen				3	75	33	2	100	100	2	67	100			
Los Angeles	1	2	100							4	67	100			
Marin	1	11	100	1	25	100	2	22	100				1	100	0
Mendocino															
Modoc				2	0	0	2	100	0	2	100	100			
Monterey													1	100	0
Nevada				1	50	0							1	100	100
Orange															
Placer															
Plumas							4	100	100	1	100	100			
Riverside															
Sacramento															
San Bernardino	1	9	0				2	40	0	3	100	67	1	100	100
San Diego				1	100	0				1	33	0			
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo							1	100	100						
San Mateo										1	33	100			
Santa Barbara										2	67	50			
Santa Cruz															
Shasta															
Siskiyou				1	33	0				2	29	50			
Sonoma															
Stanislaus															
Sutter															
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne															
Yolo															
Yuba															
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All															
Counties	7	58	57	16	19	38	19	56	12	25	17	60	9	64	44

xviii — California Election Outcomes

TREND TABLE D NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, AND YEAR

12/11	ALL CSD/CSA		;A		TAXES			Bonds			ADVISORY			RECALLS			GANN LIMIT			ORDINANCE	
	Number of Measures	% of	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County	Pass Rate
1998	31	Measures 25	<u> </u>	22	Measures 18	<u>45</u>		ivieasures			ivieasures			Measures		1	Measures	0	8	Measures 6	88
1998	20	53	60	16	42	56										3	8	100	1	3	0
2000	40	34	48	28	24	29	1	1	100	3	3	67				6	5	100	2	2	100
2000	22	59	77	12	32	75	2	5	100	3	3	07	3	8	100	3	8	100	2	5	
2001	18	18	44	14	14	36	2	ο	100				3	0	100	4	4	75		5	0
2002	13	46	38	11	39	27										4	4	75	2	7	100
2003	30	21	50		17					1	1	100				2		100			
2004	31	54	74	24	40	42 65	2	4	100	I	I	100	3	5	100	2	2		3	2	100
							2	4	100				3			1				4	
2006	24	25	50	15	16	47							4	4	25	1	1	100	4	4	75
2007	8	28	88	3	10	67				4		•	3	10	100	1	3	100	1	3	100
2008	18	20	61	11	12	45				1	1	U	,			4	4	100	2	2	
2009	6	38	83	3	19	67							1	6		1	6	100	1	6	100
2010	15	23	40	11	17	36					_		4	6						_	
2011	10	50	90	4	20	75				1	5	100	2	10	100	2	10	100	1	5	100
2012	14	18	50	11	14	36							1	1	100				2	3	100
2013	7	58	57	5	42	40				1	0	100				1	8	100			
2014	16	19	38	13	15	31	2	0	100							1	1	0			
2015	19	56	63	9	26	56				2	0	0	5	15	100	1	3	100	2	6	50
2016	25	17	60	17	11	47				1	1	0	2	1	100	1	1	100	4	3	100
2017	9	64	44	6	43	33													3	21	67
1998-2017	378	29	57	260	20	46	7	1	100	10	1	50	28	2	82	33	2	91	40	3	80

TREND TABLE E COMPARISON OF PASS RATES FOR COUNTY-WIDE, AND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT/ COUNTY SERVICE AREA TAX MEASURES, 1998-2017

		Non-CSD/CSA Coun	NTY-WIDE MEASURES	CSD/CSA	Measures	Non-CSD/CSA Count	Y-WIDE TAX MEASURES	CSD/CSA Coun	TY TAX MEASURES
	Total Number of County Measures	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing
1998	125	94	61	31	55	31	35	22	45
1999	38	18	67	20	60	5	20	16	56
2000	116	76	50	40	48	23	26	28	29
2001	37	15	67	22	77	2	50	12	75
2002	98	80	59	18	44	24	50	14	36
2003	28	15	87	13	38	1	0	11	27
2004	140	110	55	30	50	36	47	24	42
2005	57	26	50	31	74	1	100	23	65
2006	95	71	52	24	50	30	37	15	47
2007	29	21	71	8	88	0	0	3	67
2008	90	72	63	18	61	22	0	11	45
2009	16	10	60	6	83	1	0	3	67
2010	64	49	57	15	40	14	57	11	36
2011	20	10	70	10	90	3	67	4	75
2012	76	62	66	14	50	28	68	11	36
2013	12	5	100	7	57	0	0	5	40
2014	84	68	62	16	38	20	40	13	31
2015	34	15	60	19	63	2	0	9	56
2016	151	126	59	25	60	51	59	17	47
2017	14	5	80	9	44	5	80	6	33
1998-2017	1,324	948	59	378	57	299	47	260	46

CALIFORNIA ELECTION OUTCOMES

TREND TABLE F NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, AND YEAR

1	A	LL CSD/0	CSA		LAND U	SE	Pι	IBLIC SAF	ETY	G	IOVERNA	NCE	Е	NVIRONN	ENT	TRA	NSPORT	ATION	Pui	BLIC FACI	LITIES	GENE	RAL SER	VICES		REVENU	E
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures		Percent Passing																					
1998	31	25	55				12	10	42				3	2	67	2	2	50	1	1	0	8	6	88	4	3	25
1999	20	53	60				2	5	50				3	8	0	5	13	100				5	13	40	5	13	80
2000	40	34	48	2	2	0	10	9	30	2	2	100				6	5	17	5	4	40	5	4	60	1	1	100
2001	22	59	77				6	16	100	4	11	75				1	3	0	5	14	60	4	11	75	2	5	100
2002	18	18	44				11	11	45	3	3	67							3	3	33	1	1	0			
2003	13	46	38				5	18	40	2	7	100													6	21	17
2004	30	21	50				17	12	47	1	1	0				4	3	50	4	3	50	1	1	0	2	1	100
2005	31	54	74	2	4	0	1	2	100	3	5	100				6	11	100	6	11	67	9	16	78	4	7	50
2006	24	25	50				7	7	71	7	7	43				2	2	50	3	3	0	2	2	50	3	3	67
2007	8	28	88							4	14	100				1	3	0	1	3	100	2	7	100			
2008	18	20	61				8	9	50	2	2	100				2	2	50				1	1	0	5	6	80
2009	6	38	83							2	13	100				1	6	0	2	13	100				1	6	100
2010	15	23	40				7	11	43	4	6	50				1	2	0							3	5	33
2011	10	50	90				4	20	75	4	20	100													2	10	100
2012	14	18	50	1	1	100	6	8	33	2	3	100				2	3	50	3	4	33						
2013	7	58	57				2	17	50	2	17	100				3	25	33									
2014	16	19	38				7	8	29	2	2	100				3	4	0	2	2	100				2	2	0
2015	19	56	63	1	3	0	4	12	50	7	21	86				3	9	33	2	6	50	1	3	100	1	3	100
2016	25	17	60	1	1	0	10	7	70	6	4	100				3	2	0				1	1	100	4	3	25
2017	9	64	44							3	21	67				3	21	33	1	7	100	1	7	0	1	7	0
1998-2017	378	29	57	7	1	14	119	9	50	60	4	82	6	0	33	48	4	44	39	3	54	41	3	66	47	4	55

## TREND TABLE G NUMBER OF CANDIDATES BY JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

		Number (	OF CANDIDATES	
	ALL	County	CITY	SCHOOL DISTRICT
	CANDIDATES	CANDIDATES	CANDIDATES	CANDIDATES
1995	2,354	0	732	1,622
1996	5,330	667	2,141	2,522
1997	2,476	23	736	1,717
1998	5,354	1,037	1,893	2,424
1999	2,274	135	724	1,415
2000	5,012	796	2,166	2,050
2001	2,505	189	688	1,628
2002	5,896	1,266	2,188	2,442
2003	2,086	205	566	1,315
2004	5,035	782	2,212	2,041
2005	2,546	167	979	1,400
2006	5,498	1,136	2,132	2,230
2007	2,021	207	811	1,003
2008	5,237	782	2,282	2,173
2009	2,066	143	863	1,060
2010	6,022	1,177	2,321	2,524
2011	1,602	138	734	730
2012	5,208	776	2,332	2,100
2013	1,688	152	818	768
2014	5,675	1,204	2,172	2,299
2015	1,321	114	607	600
2016	5,118	723	2,361	2,034
2017	763	78	494	191
Total	83,087	11,897	32,952	38,288

<sup>\*</sup>We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE H NUMBER OF CANDIDATES FOR MAJOR COUNTY OFFICES BY YEAR

:		Number of	County Superv	isor Candidates	CSD/CSA	Candidates
	Total Number of Candidates	County Candidates	Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates	Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates
1995	2,354	0	0	0	*	*
1996	5,330	667	470	70	*	*
1997	2,476	23	19	83	*	*
1998	5,354	1,037	309	30	22	2
1999	2,274	135	5	4	109	81
2000	5,012	796	441	55	174	22
2001	2,505	189	0	0	186	98
2002	5,896	1,266	306	24	127	10
2003	2,086	205	10	5	175	85
2004	5,035	782	447	57	125	16
2005	2,546	167	4	2	155	93
2006	5,498	1,136	310	27	160	14
2007	2,021	207	10	5	161	78
2008	5,237	782	441	56	174	22
2009	2,066	143	0	0	141	99
2010	6,022	1,177	331	28	170	14
2011	1,602	138	6	4	103	75
2012	5,208	776	460	59	200	26
2013	1,688	152	11	7	138	91
2014	5,675	1,204	317	26	244	20
2015	1,321	114	5	4	94	82
2016	5,118	723	431	60	158	22
2017	763	78	0	0	78	100
Total	83,087	11,897	4,333	36	2,894	24

<sup>\*</sup>The California Elections Data Archive did not collect information on CSD/CSA candidates until 1998.

<sup>\*\*</sup>We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE I PERCENT OF INCUMBENT CANDIDATES, AND PERCENT OF PREVAILING INCUMBENTS BY MAJOR OFFICE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	PERCI	ENT OF CANDIDATES	S WHO ARE INCUM	BENTS	Pi	ERCENTAGE OF INC	CUMBENTS WHO W	/IN	PERCENTAGE	OF WINNING CAN	DIDATES WHO ARE	INCUMBENTS
	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates
1995	27		18	30	79		79	78	50		41	51
1996	27	24	23	28	79	75	74	78	48	51	41	47
1997	30	5	23	33	76	0	79	74	49	0	45	50
1998	32	30	26	32	86	87	82	83	57	63	48	53
1999	30	0	23	32	78		81	77	51	0	45	52
2000	30	30	27	32	79	90	80	74	52	73	51	49
2001	30		24	32	78		80	77	50		51	50
2002	34	34	27	36	82	81	79	79	57	63	50	56
2003	31	0	22	35	78		72	79	51	0	40	55
2004	33	28	28	37	81	81	81	76	55	59	51	57
2005	31	0	23	36	80		80	78	52	0	50	52
2006	35	29	29	36	82	90	78	78	56	68	51	55
2007	31	0	27	33	77		79	75	50	0	54	48
2008	34	30	30	38	76	86	80	70	56	61	55	54
2009	34		26	39	78		79	76	54	-	51	55
2010	35	28	29	39	82	83	82	79	59	61	56	59
2011	29	0	24	34	82		82	82	49	0	47	51
2012	27	28	25	30	74	78	74	71	43	58	41	43
2013	30	0	27	31	77		82	74	47	0	52	44
2014	35	31	30	38	77	89	77	71	54	64	51	51
2015	29	0	26	31	71		74	67	45	0	46	43
2016	32	31	28	34	74	82	75	68	49	63	47	46
2017	29		26	31	80		86	70	55		59	47
1995-2017	32	29	27	34	79	83	79	76	53	62	49	52

<sup>\*</sup>We excluded runoffs from totals.

2017 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY:
ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR
COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
BALLOT MEASURES AND CANDIDATES

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2017

	TAXES		Bonds		CHARTER AMENDMENT		Advisory		INITIATIVE		RECALL		ORDINANCE		AL	RES	
	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	1	1													1	1	2
Calaveras	1	1													1	1	2
Colusa													1	0	1	0	1
El Dorado	0	3													0	3	3
Fresno	0	3													0	3	3
Humboldt	1	0													1	0	1
Imperial	1	0											1	0	2	0	2
Kern	1	1	0	1											1	2	3
Kings	1	0													1	0	1
Los Angeles	9	7	1	2	4	1							5	1	19	11	30
Madera	0	1													0	1	1
Marin	2	1											1	0	3	1	4
Mendocino	1	0													1	0	1
Monterey	3	0	0	2							1	0	0	1	4	3	7
Nevada	1	0													1	0	1
Plumas	0	1													0	1	1
Riverside	3	1							0	1			1	1	4	3	7
San Bernardino	0	2	1	0									2	1	3	3	6
San Diego									0	1					0	1	1
San Luis Obispo									0	1					0	1	1
San Mateo	6	2			2	0	1	0					0	1	9	3	12
Santa Barbara	1	0													1	0	1
Santa Clara	3	1											1	1	4	2	6
Santa Cruz	1	0													1	0	1
Shasta	1	0													1	0	1
Sonoma	3	1											1	1	4	2	6
Stanislaus	2	0													2	0	2
Trinity															1	0	1
Tulare	5	0													5	0	5
All Counties	47	26	3	5	6	1	1	0	0	3	1	0	13	7	71	42	113

2017 CITY OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES PAGE 3

CALIFORNIA ELECTION OUTCOMES PAGE 4

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2017

	EDUCATION		Lani	USE	SAI	ETY	Gover	RNANCE	Trans	SPORT	Facil	ITIES	Hous	SING	GEN SER	ERAL /ICES	Revi	ENUE		. MEASUI	
	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	1	1																	1	1	2
Calaveras											1	0					0	1	1	1	2
Colusa							1	0											1	0	1
El Dorado									0	3									0	3	3
Fresno					0	2											0	1	0	3	3
Humboldt																	1	0	1	0	1
Imperial							1	0									1	0	2	0	2
Kern	0	1			0	1											1	0	1	2	3
Kings																	1	0	1	0	1
Los Angeles	2	1	3	2	1	2	5	1			0	2			1	0	7	3	19	11	30
Madera					0	1													0	1	1
Marin	1	0	1	0											0	1	1	0	3	1	4
Mendocino															1	0			1	0	1
Monterey	0	2			1	0	1	1									2	0	4	3	7
Nevada									1	0									1	0	1
Plumas					0	1													0	1	1
Riverside			0	1	2	0									1	1	1	1	4	3	7
San Bernardino			1	1	0	2	1	0							1	0			3	3	6
San Diego			0	1															0	1	1
San Luis Obispo													0	1					0	1	1
San Mateo	2	1					2	0			1	0	0	1			4	1	9	3	12
Santa Barbara																	1	0	1	0	1
Santa Clara	2	1	1	1	1	0													4	2	6
Santa Cruz	1	0																	1	0	1
Shasta					1	0													1	0	1
Sonoma	0	1	1	0									0	1			3	0	4	2	6
Stanislaus											1	0					1	0	2	0	2
Trinity	1	0																	1	0	1
Tulare																	5	0	5	0	5
All Counties	10	8	7	6	6	9	11	2	1	3	3	2	0	3	4	2	29	7	71	42	113

TABLE C SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES, 2017

		City Cou	ıncil	Director, (	CSD*	Other City Ot	ffices	School E Memb		Total*	*
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
	Win	85.7	90	60.9	14	91.4	32	70.0	42	79.8	178
Incumbent Candidates	Lose	14.3	15	39.1	9	8.6	3	30.0	18	20.2	45
	Total	100.0	105	100.0	23	100.0	35	100.0	60	100.0	223
Non-	Win	20.7	62	47.3	26	18.2	10	36.6	48	27.0	146
Incumbent	Lose	79.3	237	52.7	29	81.8	45	63.4	83	73.0	394
Candidates	Total	100.0	299	100.0	55	100.0	55	100.0	131	100.0	540
1AC :	Incumbent	59.2	90	35.0	14	76.2	32	46.7	42	54.9	178
Winning Candidates	Non-Incumbent	40.8	62	65.0	26	23.8	10	53.3	48	45.1	146
	Total	100.0	152	100.0	40	100.0	42	100.0	90	100.0	324
Lasina	Incumbent	6.0	15	23.7	9	6.3	3	17.8	18	10.3	45
Losing Candidates	Non-Incumbent	94.0	237	76.3	29	93.8	45	82.2	83	89.7	394
	Total	100.0	252	100.0	38	100.0	48	100.0	101	100.0	540
AII	Incumbent	26.0	105	29.5	23	38.9	35	31.4	60	29.2	223
All Candidates	Non-Incumbent	74.0	299	70.5	55	61.1	55	68.6	131	70.8	540
	Total	100.0	404	100.0	78	100.0	90	100.0	191	100.0	763

<sup>\*</sup>Directors of Community Service Districts, and Community Service Areas.

2017 CITY OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES — PAGE 5

<sup>\*\*</sup>We excluded runoffs from the totals.

## PART 1 VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES, AND TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES

Table 1.1 Vote Totals for City Ballot Measures by County, 2017

County	Date	Сіту	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	Vote in Favor	Total Vote	PERCENT OF VOTE	Pass or Fail
ALAMEDA	No City Me	easures							
ALPINE	No City Me	easures							
AMADOR	No City Me	easures							
BUTTE	No City Me	easures							
CALAVERAS	No City Me	easures							
COLUSA	No City Me	easures							
CONTRA COSTA	No City Me	easures							
DEL NORTE	No City Me	easures							
EL DORADO	11/7/2017	South Lake Tahoe	Measure C	Sales Tax	Transport: Roads	1,497	2,809	53.3%	Fail <sup>⊤</sup>
FRESNO	8/29/2017	Mendota	Measure A	Property Tax	Safety: Police	83	259	32.0%	Fail <sup>⊤</sup>
			Measure B	Sales Tax	Safety: Police	108	259	41.7%	Fail <sup>⊤</sup>
	11/7/2017	Coalinga	Measure C	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	545	1,127	48.4%	Fail
GLENN	No City Me	easures							
HUMBOLDT	11/7/2017	Rio Dell	Measure X	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	353	436	81.0%	Pass <sup>⊤</sup>
IMPERIAL	11/7/2017	Brawley	Measure W	Utility Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,649	2,659	62.0%	Pass
			Measure X	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	1,312	2,559	51.3%	Pass
INYO	No City Me	easures							
KERN	6/6/2017	California City	Measure A	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,183	1,576	75.1%	Pass
			Measure B	Property Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	727	1,575	46.2%	Fail <sup>⊤</sup>
KINGS	6/6/2017	Corcoran	Measure A	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	466	798	58.4%	Pass
LAKE	No City Me	easures							
LASSEN	No City Me								
LOS ANGELES	3/7/2017	Bell	Measure T	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,136	1,699	66.9%	Pass
		Bellflower	Measure B	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	3,159	4,278	73.8%	Pass
		Covina	Measure CC	Utility Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	2,740	3,838	71.4%	Pass
		Cudahy	Measure PS	Property Tax	Safety: Police	333	1,258	26.5%	Fail <sup>⊤</sup>
		Los Angeles	Measure M	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	319,017	396,540	80.5%	Pass
			Measure N	Business Tax	Land Use: Zoning	134,787	385,683	34.9%	Fail
			Measure P	Charter Amendment	Land Use	251,398	367,457	68.4%	Pass
			Measure S	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	121,101	409,113	29.6%	Fail
		Monterey Park	Measure LL	Property Tax	Facilities: Libraries	2,985	4,939	60.4%	Fail <sup>⊤</sup>
		Palos Verdes Estates	Measure D	Property Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	2,198	3,668	59.9%	Fail <sup>⊤</sup>
		Redondo Beach	Measure C	Ordinance	Land Use: Open Space	9,229	16,154	57.1%	Pass

T Indicates measure required two-thirds of the vote to pass. F Indicates measure required 55% of the vote to pass. All other city measures required a majority vote.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2017

County	DATE	CITY	Measure Title	Type of Measure	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	Total Vote	PERCENT OF VOTE	Pass or Fail
LOS ANGELES	3/7/2017	San Fernando	Measure SF	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	953	1,596	59.7%	Pass
(continued)		Signal Hill	Measure F	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	534	1,139	46.9%	Fail
	4/4/2017	Glendale	Measure L	Charter Amendment	Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	16,707	19,672	84.9%	Pass
		Inglewood	Measure DE	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	1,654	2,901	57.0%	Pass
	4/11/2017	Vernon	Measure Q	Utility Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	14	37	37.8%	Fail
	5/16/2017	Los Angeles	Measure C	Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	113,198	206,494	54.8%	Pass
	11/7/2017	Carson	Measure C	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	5,894	8,117	72.6%	Pass
		Compton	Measure A	Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	887	3,305	26.8%	Fail
		El Monte	Measure EM	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	2,187	3,147	69.5%	Pass
		Hawthorne	Measure HH	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	2,745	3,984	68.9%	Pass
		La Habra Heights	Measure G	Ordinance	Safety: Fire	844	1,013	83.3%	Pass
		Montebello	Measure S	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,650	4,370	37.8%	Fail
		Montebello	Measure T	Ordinance	Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	3,652	4,304	84.9%	Pass
		Rolling Hills	Measure C	Ordinance	Land Use	441	743	59.4%	Pass
		Whittier	Measure L	GO Bond	Facilities: Libraries	4,278	6,438	66.4%	Fail <sup>⊤</sup>
MADERA	No City Me	easures							
MARIN	11/7/2017	Larkspur	Measure B	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,945	2,921	66.6%	Pass
MARIN	11/7/2017	Novato	Measure D	Ordinance	Land Use: Growth Cap/Boundary	8,933	12,083	73.9%	Pass
MARIPOSA	No City Me	easures							
MENDOCINO	No City Me	easures							
MERCED	No City Me	easures							
MODOC	No City Me	easures							
MONO	No City Me	easures							
MONTEREY	1/24/2017	Greenfield	Recall 1	Recall	Governance: Recall	866	1,420	61.0%	Pass
	5/2/2017	Greenfield	Measure C	Business Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	648	949	68.3%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
	6/6/2017	Seaside	Measure G	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,810	2,219	81.6%	Pass
			Measure L	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,403	2,225	63.1%	Pass
NAPA	No City Me	easures							
NEVADA	No City Me	easures							
ORANGE	No City Me	easures							
PLACER	No City Me	easures							
PLUMAS	6/6/2017	Portola	Measure B	Property Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	151	425	35.5%	Fail <sup>⊤</sup>

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2017

County	DATE	Сіту	Measure Title	Type of Measure	TOPIC OF MEASURE	Vote in Favor	Total Vote	PERCENT OF VOTE	Pass or Fail
RIVERSIDE	5/2/2017	Lake Elsinore	Measure A	Initiative	Land Use: Zoning	451	3,829	11.8%	Fail
	11/7/2017	Desert Hot Springs	Measure B	Property Tax	Safety	1,672	2,224	75.2%	Pass <sup>⊤</sup>
			Measure C	Utility Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	1,692	2,227	76.0%	Pass <sup>⊤</sup>
		Palm Springs	Measure D	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	6,849	12,138	56.4%	Fail <sup>⊤</sup>
			Measure E	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	9,357	11,938	78.4%	Pass
		Perris	Measure G	Ordinance	General Services: Wastewater/Sewage	1,216	2,033	59.8%	Fail <sup>⊤</sup>
			Measure H	Ordinance	General Services: Water	1,326	2,095	63.3%	Pass
SACRAMENTO	No City Me	easures							
SAN BENITO	No City Me	easures							
SAN BERNARDINO	6/6/2017	Apple Valley	Measure F	Revenue Bond	General Services: Water	7,200	12,438	57.9%	Pass
		Upland	Measure E	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	7,562	10,367	72.9%	Pass
	7/11/2017	Chino	Measure H	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	1,262	7,957	15.9%	Fail
	11/7/2017	Barstow	Measure J	Sales Tax	Safety: Fire	1,342	2,057	65.2%	Fail <sup>⊤</sup>
		Victorville	Measure K	Sales Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	3,859	6,256	61.7%	Fail <sup>⊤</sup>
SAN DIEGO	11/7/2017	Poway	Measure A	Initiative	Land Use: Open Space	4,486	12,089	37.1%	Fail
SAN FRANCISCO	No City Me	easures							
SAN JOAQUIN	No City Me	easures							
SAN LUIS OBISPO	8/22/2017	San Luis Obispo	Measure B-17	Initiative	Housing	2,462	8,311	29.6%	Fail
SAN MATEO	6/6/2017	Atherton	Measure A	Advisory	Facilities	1,182	1,925	61.4%	Pass
	11/7/2017	Atherton	Measure F	Property Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	981	1,865	52.6%	Fail <sup>⊤</sup>
		Brisbane	Measure D	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	831	1,106	75.1%	Pass
			Measure E	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	877	1,102	79.6%	Pass
		Burlingame	Measure I	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	3,396	5,373	63.2%	Pass
		Pacifica	Measure C	Ordinance	Housing: Rent Control	4,354	10,994	39.6%	Fail
			Measure G	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	8,588	10,904	78.8%	Pass
		San Mateo	Measure B	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	9,726	11,945	81.4%	Pass
			Measure H	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	9,774	11,941	81.9%	Pass
SANTA BARBARA	11/7/2017	Santa Barbara	Measure C	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	13,501	24,059	56.1%	Pass
SANTA CLARA	4/25/2017	Campbell	Measure A	Business Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	5,582	6,605	84.5%	Pass
			Measure B	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	2,398	6,573	36.5%	Fail
			Measure C	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	4,122	6,533	63.1%	Pass
SANTA CRUZ	No City Me	easures							
SHASTA	8/29/2017	Shasta Lake	Measure A	Business Tax	Safety: Police	1,240	1,565	79.2%	Pass <sup>⊤</sup>
SIERRA	No City Me				•	•	•		

2017 CITY OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES — PAGE 11

### TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2017

COUNTY	Date City	Measure Title	Type of Measure	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
COUNTY	-	WEASURE TITLE	I TPE OF IVIEASURE	TOPIC OF IVIEASURE	FAVUR	VOTE	OF VOIE	UR FAIL
SISKIYOU	No City Measures							
SOLANO	No City Measures							
SONOMA	6/6/2017 Santa Rosa	Measure C	Ordinance	Housing: Rent Control	16,382	33,545	48.8%	Fail
		Measure D	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	25,632	33,167	77.3%	Pass
	11/7/2017 Cotati	Measure G	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	972	1,234	78.8%	Pass
	Windsor	Measure H	Ordinance	Land Use: Growth Cap/Boundary	3,337	4,435	75.2%	Pass
STANISLAUS	11/7/2017 Modesto	Measure T	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	19,832	24,046	82.5%	Pass
SUTTER	No City Measures							
TEHAMA	No City Measures							
TRINITY	No City Measures							
TULARE	6/6/2017 Lindsay	Measure O	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	397	581	68.3%	Pass
	11/7/2017 Farmersville	Measure P	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	225	372	60.5%	Pass
		Measure Q	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	242	370	65.4%	Pass
	Woodlake	Measure R	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	293	456	64.3%	Pass
		Measure S	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	308	454	67.8%	Pass
TUOLUMNE	No City Measures							
VENTURA	No City Measures							
YOLO	No City Measures							
YUBA	No City Measures							

#### TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2017

EL DORADO 11/7/2017 South Lake Tahoe Measure C

Fail (2.3rds required)

To fix potholes and pave/repair/maintain local roads; improve safety for drivers/pedestrians/bicyclists; provide safe routes to school; and protect the clarity of Lake Tahoe by reducing sediment from roadways, shall an ordinance establishing a one-half percent sales tax be adopted for fifteen years, providing approximately \$2.5 million annually in locally controlled funding for the Roads Management Authority of the City of South Lake Tahoe, requiring an independent citizens' oversight committee and all funds for road improvement only?

FRESNO 8/29/2017 Mendota

Measure A

Fail (2.3rds required)

To support local law enforcement activities, shall an ordinance be adopted imposing an annual special parcel tax amounting to: (i) \$400/unit upon developed multi-family residences or apartments; (ii) \$500/unit upon all other developed parcels; (iii) \$100/ unit upon developed parcels for senior citizens; (iv) \$50/unit upon undeveloped parcels for senior citizens; and (v) \$100/ parcel for all other undeveloped parcels?

FRESNO

8/29/2017 Mendota

Measure B

Fail (2.3rds required)

To provide additional funding for local law enforcement services, shall the ordinance increasing the City's Sales and Use Tax from .980% to 1.980% which will raise approximately \$500,000 annually be adopted?

FRESNO

11/7/2017 Coalinga

Measure C

Fail

To help prevent the loss of vital public safety services, and to protect other general services, shall the City of Coalinga enact a general-purpose, one-percent sales tax, expected to generate \$850,000 annually, with annual independent audits?

**HUMBOLDT** 

11/7/2017 Rio Dell

Measure X

Pass (2.3rds required)

Shall the ordinance creating a new business tax of up to give dollars per square foot of space utilized for certain commercial cannabis cultivation activity and, for other commercial cannabis activity, a tax of up to ten percent of gross receipts be adopted, with all proceeds placed in the City's General Fund to be used for any governmental purpose? The tax imposed by this Ordinance is expected to generate \$980,000 in annual revenue and will continue in effect until later repealed

**IMPERIAL** 

11/7/2017 Brawley

Measure W

Page

Shall the City of Brawley ordinance extending the Utility Users Tax for five years at a rate not to exceed 4%, upon the use of telephone, electricity, gas, water, sewer, trash and cable television utilities, to maintain city services, including, but not limited to, police, fire, administration, library and parks and recreation, and subject to future council action to reduce said percentage, and which is projected to raise \$1.9 million per year, be adopted?

**IMPERIAL** 

11/7/2017

Brawley

Measure X

Pass

Shall the office of City Treasurer which is currently an elected position be consolidated with the position of City Finance Director and be converted to a position to be filled by City Council appointment commencing upon the expiration of the term of the current City Treasurer?

KERN

6/6/2017

California City

Measure A

Pass

To fund general municipal expenses such as police, fire, urgent care, roads and recreation, shall the City tax cannabis (marijuana) businesses at annual rates not to exceed \$7.00 per canopy square foot for cultivation (adjustable for inflation) and not to exceed 6% of gross receipts for all other cannabis businesses; which is expected to generate an estimated \$3.4 million annually and will be levied until repealed by the voters or the City Council?

KERN

6/6/2017

California City

Measure B

Fail (2.3rds required)

In order to fund police, fire, and code enforcement services, shall the existing special parcel tax be extended for an additional three years (through tax year 2020-2021) at a rate not to exceed the existing rate of \$150 per lot or parcel, generating approximately \$7.5 Million in annual funding for public safety?

**KINGS** 

6/6/2017

Corcoran

Measure A

To preserve the safety and character of Corcoran, and maintain essential general City services for Corcoran residents including police, 911 emergency response, fire protection, disaster preparedness, recreational programs and facilities, park maintenance and improvements, street maintenance and repairs and other essential services, shall Ordinance No. 631, imposing a 1 cent general sales and use tax, be adopted? Estimated revenues between \$1,000,000 and \$1,200,000 annually, indefinitely.

LOS ANGELES

3/7/2017

Bell

Measure T

Pass

Shall the ordinance, to permit the Council to levy generally on visitors to the City a maximum 12% tax on hotel/motel charges, estimated to annually raise \$285,000 for general City purposes, including for law enforcement and street repairs, and without a sunset clause, be adopted?

LOS ANGELES

3/7/2017

Bellflower

Measure B

Pass

Shall the proposition imposing a tax on cannabis related businesses operating within the City of Bellflower be adopted?

LOS ANGELES

3/7/2017

Covina

Measure CC

Pass

To continue to provide about \$5 million annually to preserve essential City services like Police, Fire, Parks, Library, Recreation and Public Works and other local programs and general services, shall an ordinance be adopted to extend the City of Covina's current 6% utility users tax on telephone, gas, electricity and water services to March of 2029, without raising the rate of the tax or taxing any additional utility services?

#### TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2017

LOS ANGELES 3/7/2017 Cudahy Measure PS

Fail (2.3rds required)

Shall the 2017 Temporary Public Safety Funding Ordinance imposing an excise tax of \$139 per parcel per year on single-family and unimproved property; \$181 per dwelling per year on multifamily property; and between \$1,550 to \$49,600 per parcel per year on industrial, commercial, mobile-home park and other nonresidential properties be adopted for 5 years to raise approximately \$2,000,000 per year to pay for approximately half of the City's annual cost of providing police protection services?

LOS ANGELES

3/7/2017 Los Angeles

Measure M

Pass

Shall an ordinance providing for enforcement, taxation and regulation of cannabis and/or cannabis products (cannabis) by: 1) providing that the City Council retains the authority to amend existing and adopt new regulations regarding cannabis activity in the City after conducting public hearings regarding various aspects of the commercialization of cannabis and medical cannabis, and giving priority in the processing of applications to existing medical marijuana dispensaries operating in compliance with current City law; 2) authorizing criminal penalties, nuisance abatement, increased civil fines and disconnection of water and power utilities for unauthorized cannabis activities; and 3) establishing new business taxes, effective January 1, 2018, including taxes of \$100 per each \$1,000 of gross receipts from cannabis sales and \$50 per each \$1,000 of gross receipts from medical cannabis manufacturing, cultivation or other commercialization of cannabis; be adopted?

LOS ANGELES

3/7/2017 Los Angeles

Measure N

Fail

Shall an ordinance establishing a City permitting program for cannabis activity, prioritizing existing medical marijuana businesses compliant with current City law (MMBs) and maintaining 135 dispensaries in the City unless increased by the City Council, including by: 1) authorizing the City to issue permits for cannabis activity including cultivation, manufacture and sale of medical cannabis; 2) providing existing compliant MMBs a limited time to register for initial permits for specified cannabis activity and other priority in the permitting process; 3) allowing permitted cannabis activity in certain non-residential zones; 4) providing operational standards and minimum-distance requirements from schools and other sites; 5) authorizing fines and other penalties for non-permitted cannabis activity but limit enforcement procedures for violations of the ordinance by permit holders; and 6) allowing permittees to operate as adult use marijuana businesses and impose a tax of \$80 per each \$1,000 of gross receipts from adult use marijuana sales if state law changes to allow non-medical adult use of marijuana; be adopted?

LOS ANGELES

3/7/2017

Los Angeles

Measure P

Pass

Shall the City Charter be amended to increase the maximum term for franchises, concessions, permits, licenses and leases that may be entered into by the Harbor Department from the current maximum of 50 years to a new maximum of 66 years, to be consistent with recent changes to state law?

LOS ANGELES

3/7/2017

Los Angeles

Measure S

Fail

Shall an ordinance amending City laws related to the General Plan, including to: 1) impose a two-year moratorium on projects seeking General Plan amendments or zone or height-district changes resulting in more intense land use, an increase in density or height, or a loss of zoned open space, agricultural or industrial areas, with exceptions including for affordable housing projects and projects for which vested rights have accrued; 2) prohibit geographic amendments to the General Plan unless the affected area has significant social, economic or physical identity (defined as encompassing an entire community or district plan area, specific plan area, neighborhood council area or at least 15 acres); 3) require systematic, public review of the General Plan every five years; 4) prohibit project applicants from completing environmental impact reports for the City; 5) require the City make findings of General Plan consistency for planning amendments, project approvals and permit decisions; and 6) prohibit certain parking variances; be adopted?

LOS ANGELES

3/7/2017

Monterey Park

Measure LL

Fail (2.3rds required)

Shall the proposition renewing a special parcel tax for library services at the Monterey Park Bruggemeyer Library be adopted?

LOS ANGELES

3/7/2017

Palos Verdes Estates

Measure D

Fail (2.3rds required)

Shall an ordinance be adopted that continues a levy of the existing special tax for a period of twelve (12) years on each eligible parcel in the City at the initial base rate of \$342.34 plus \$0.196073 per square foot of building improvement effective July 1, 2017, and maintains the existing 6.2% limit on annual adjustments, initially generating approximately \$4.7 million annually to finance fire suppression and paramedic services?

LOS ANGELES

3/7/2017

Redondo Beach

Measure C

Pass

Shall Redondo Beach approve amendments to its Coastal Land Use Plan Implementing Ordinance (partially adopted in 2010 in Measure G) to provide additional analytical procedures and additional limits reducing the amount and type of development in the Coastal Commercial Zones and the Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Zone (Seaside Lagoon), located in the Redondo Beach King Harbor-Pier area?

LOS ANGELES

3/7/2017

San Fernando

Measure SF

Pass

Shall the office of City Treasurer be appointive?

LOS ANGELES

3/7/2017

Signal Hill Measure F

Fail

(INITIATIVE) Shall the proposed citizen's initiative measure to tax medical marijuana facilities in the City of Signal Hill through a 10% tax on the monetary transactions as well as doubling the license taxes, with no sunset clause, and currently estimated to raise \$0.00 annually because medical marijuana facilities are not presently allowed in the city of Signal Hill be adopted?

LOS ANGELES

4/4/2017

Glendale

Measure L

Pass

Shall the City Charter be amended to require term limits for councilmembers: councilmembers may not serve more than three terms; a partial term of two years or more will be deemed a full term; and the measure will not apply to councilmember terms that commenced prior to adoption of this measure?

#### TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2017

LOS ANGELES 4/4/2017 Measure DE Inglewood Pass Shall the Inglewood City Charter be amended to allow the Board of Education for Inglewood City Schools to change the method of election of the Board of Education? LOS ANGELES 4/11/2017 Vernon Measure Q Fail Shall an ordinance be adopted to authorize the levy of a 6% utility users' tax on electricity, gas, telecommunications, video and water utility services, with exemptions for residential services provided to persons with disabilities and low income households? LOS ANGELES Pass 5/16/2017 Los Angeles Measure C Shall the Charter be amended to allow the City Council to provide that a police officer who is entitled to a Police Department Board of Rights hearing for a disciplinary matter may select a Board of Rights composed of all civilian members? LOS ANGELES 11/7/2017 Measure C Carson **Pass** To maintain and improve Carson services, such as 911 emergency, Sheriff protection, senior/youth/anti-gang programs; repair streets; improve air/environmental quality, reduce hazardous waste; shall an ordinance be adopted imposing tax of 1/4th of 1% of gross receipts of operators of facilities blending, mixing, processing, or refining petroleum or petroleum products and/or storing petroleum products, to provide approximately \$24,000,000 annually until ended by voters, requiring regular compliance audits, with funds used in Carson? LOS ANGELES 11/7/2017 Fail Compton Measure A Shall the Compton City Charter be amended to set the salary of Council members to \$43,000, and to set the Mayor's annual salary to \$54,000? LOS ANGELES 11/7/2017 El Monte Measure EM Pass To preserve and stabilize funding for various essential City services such as fire and emergency response services; police staffing; street maintenance; senior and youth programs; park maintenance; graffiti removal; State-mandated storm drain upgrades; and to restore the City's "rainy day" general fund reserves, shall the City's existing half percent (1/2%) transactions and use tax be extended for ten years, subject always to the voters' power of repeal? LOS ANGELES 11/7/2017 Hawthorne Measure HH **Pass** To fund general city services, such as police, fire, senior services, streets, parks, paramedics, 9-1-1, anti-gang units, and other general city services, shall the City of Hawthorne Transactions and Use Tax Ordinance of 2017 be approved, imposing a 0.75% transactions and use (sales) tax, with annual audit and appointment of a Citizen's Oversight Committee, to be collected until repealed by the voters or the City Council and estimated to raise approximately \$8.5 million per year? LOS ANGELES 11/7/2017 Pass La Habra Heights Measure G Shall an ordinance be adopted to renew the City's authority to spend existing revenues from the special fire tax approved by two-thirds of the City's voters in 1997 to provide essential fire and life safety services by renewing the voter-approved amendment to the City's appropriations limits? LOS ANGELES 11/7/2017 Montebello Measure S Fail To preserve public safety, community programs and prevent significant cuts to essential services, by funding general City services including hiring additional police and fire personnel, maintaining anti-gang and graffiti efforts, youth and after-school parks and recreation services, expanding and improving City parks, fixing City streets and public infrastructure, shall the City sales tax be increased by one cent with annual financial audits, expenditure reports, and financial oversight? LOS ANGELES 11/7/2017 Montebello Measure T Pass Shall the terms served by elected officials of the City of Montebello, including members of the City Council, the City Treasurer, and the City Clerk, be limited to not more than three (3) consecutive four (4) year terms, after which an elected official shall not be qualified to serve in that elected office for a period of four (4) years? LOS ANGELES 11/7/2017 Rolling Hills Measure C Pass Shall an ordinance be adopted repealing the March 2013 amendments made to the City's View Preservation Ordinance by Measure B? LOS ANGELES 11/7/2017 Whittier Measure L Fail (2.3rds required)

To expand 58-year-old Whittier Central Library to meet 21st century needs, including: providing a children's area for story times, parent/child reading, and children's book collections/technology; improving senior/disabled access; expanding/updating computer/technology center; space for up-to-date book/resource collections; relocating the Veterans Resource Center for better service; and related improvements; shall the City of Whittier issue \$22,000,000 in bonds at legal rates, requiring independent citizen oversight, and all funds for Whittier Central Library only?

MARIN 11/7/2017 Larkspur Measure B Pass To maintain City services, including repairing aging, deteriorating neighborhood streets, roads, potholes, major boulevards; clearing brush to prevent fires/improve emergency vehicle access; upgrading storm drains; fire protection/911 emergency/medical response; and other services, shall the City of Larkspur extend the voter-approved sales tax at the 3/4¢ rate until ended by voters, providing \$1,650,000 annually with authority to incur debt to accelerate infrastructure projects, subject to citizens' oversight/audits?

PAGE 16--CALIFORNIA ELECTION OUTCOMES

#### TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2017

MARIN 11/7/2017 Novato Measure D **Pass** Shall the City of Novato adopt an ordinance amending the General Plan to continue the Urban Growth Boundary to December 31, 2042 and adopting

policies and programs relating thereto be adopted?

1/24/2017 Greenfield Recall 1 **Pass** 

Shall JOHN HUERTA, JR. be recalled(removed) from the office of City Mayor?

**MONTEREY** 5/2/2017 Greenfield Measure C Pass (2.3rds required) To ensure fire and emergency medical services for our community, including the cost of qualified staff, capital replacement and reserves, shall a new parcel tax providing up to \$770,000 initially, be adopted without expiration at up to \$200 per single family unit, \$150 per multi-unit, and \$85 - \$200 plus \$.07 per building square foot for non- residential parcels, including an annual increase equal to the inflation index as established each year by the City Council?

**MONTEREY** 6/6/2017 Seaside Measure G

To generate an estimated \$300,000 annually until ended by voters to maintain essential City services such as 9-1-1 emergency response, anti-drug/antigang programs; repairing streets, potholes, storm drains; earthquake, flood preparedness; improving parks, youth/senior programs; and other general services; with required independent audits and all funds staying in Seaside, shall the ordinance levying an ongoing tax of up to 10% of gross receipts of marijuana businesses be adopted?

**MONTEREY** 6/6/2017 Seaside Measure L

To generate an estimated \$1,900,000 annually until ended by voters to maintain essential City services such as police, fire, 9-1-1 emergency response; hiring police officers/firefighters; youth violence prevention programs; improving street maintenance, water cleanliness, earthquake, flood preparedness, parks, beaches, youth/senior programs, and other general services; with required independent audits and all funds staying in Seaside; shall the ordinance increasing the City's sales tax by 1/2 cent be adopted?

Measure B Fail (2.3rds required)

Upon a 2/3 vote of approval shall the City of Portola be authorized and empowered to adopt Ordinance No. 345 establishing an annual special tax, for each parcel of real property within the City, to be collected and apportioned along with the County property taxes, without expiration commencing July 1, 2017. with an annual cost of living adjustment, to be used for providing and enhancing necessary fire protection, prevention and suppression services, emergency medical and rescue services and hazardous material emergency response services?

**RIVERSIDE** Lake Elsinore Fail Measure A

(INITIATIVE) Shall the Ordinance Initiative Measure Revising the Alberhill Villages Specific Plan Governing the Future Development of Approximately 1,400 Acres Located in Northwest Lake Elsinore, South of Interstate 15 and West of Lake Street be adopted?

11/7/2017 **Desert Hot Springs** Measure B Pass (2.3rds required)

Shall the Ordinance preventing public safety service cuts to police/emergency response times, anti-gang/anti-drug, youth violence and sex offender/parolee programs, extending existing, voter-approved public safety measure at a reduced rate of \$103 per single family residence, providing approximately \$2,000,000 annually, until ended by voters, requiring audits, with all funds for local public safety, and a tax decrease for these parcels, be adopted?

**RIVERSIDE** 11/7/2017 **Desert Hot Springs** Measure C Pass (2.3rds required)

Shall the Ordinance to increase police training, recruitment/retention and crime investigation/prevention; maintain rapid police, fire and 9-1-1 emergency response times; and to hire additional police officers to patrol streets, extending its existing, voter-approved utility users' tax, producing approximately \$2,831,543 annually, until ended by voters, with independent citizens' oversight, annual audits, and requiring all funds for local public safety services be adopted?

**RIVERSIDE** 11/7/2017 Palm Springs Measure D Fail (2.3rds required)

To maintain essential city services, including rapid 911 emergency response, fire/police protection, add paramedic services, keep fire stations open/staffed, address homelessness, repair local streets/potholes, improve rapid response to assault, burglaries/crimes, keep public areas safe/clean. maintain senior services, attract/retain jobs/business, increase water conservation; shall the City of Palm Springs establish a 1/2 cent sales tax; requiring annual independent audits/public oversight; all funds used locally?

**RIVERSIDE** 11/7/2017 Palm Springs Measure E Pass Shall Palm Springs update the existing gross receipts tax on medical cannabis collectives and cooperatives to apply to all medical and adult-use cannabis businesses, apart from cultivation businesses, which will be taxed at a rate up to 10 dollars per square foot, with all revenue used in Palm Springs to maintain and improve essential city services, including public safety and youth drug prevention education; requiring annual independent audits and public oversight?

**RIVERSIDE** 11/7/2017 Perris Measure G Fail (2.3rds required) Shall the ordinance known as the SALE OF THE CITY OF PERRIS DOWNTOWN SEWER SYSTEM, authorizing the City of Perris to sell the Downtown

Perris Sewer System to retire Water System debt and use the remaining proceeds for park amenities, including athletic fields, be adopted?

**RIVERSIDE** 11/7/2017 Perris Measure H **Pass** 

#### TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2017

Shall the resolution known as the SALE OF THE CITY OLF PERRIS NORTH PERRIS WATER SYSTEM AND DOWNTOWN PERRIS WATER SYSTEM, which will authorize the City of Perris to sell the North Perris Water System and Downtown Water System to Liberty Utilities to retire Water System debt and use the remaining proceeds for park amenities, including athletic fields, be adopted?

SAN BERNARDINO 6/6/2017 Apple Valley Measure F

Pass

Shall the Town of Apple Valley be authorized to finance the acquisition of the Apple Valley water system, currently owned and operated by Liberty Utilities, including related expenses, by incurring debt in the maximum amount of \$150,000,000, provided that the debt is repaid from revenues generated by the water system, and not from taxes, and further that the Town conducts an annual independent audit?

SAN BERNARDINO

6/6/2017

Upland Measure E

Pass

An ordinance repealing Chapter 9.48 of the City of Upland Municipal Code and replacing it with a new Chapter 9.48 prohibiting marijuana-related uses and activities in the city. Shall the voters of the City of Upland adopt an ordinance prohibiting marijuana-related uses and activities in the city?

SAN BERNARDINO 7/11/2017

Chino

Barstow

Measure H

Fail

Shall Ordinance No. 2017-004, entitled "An Ordinance of the People of the City of Chino, California, to Enact Section No. 20.15.160 of the Chino Municipal Code Converting 29.7 Acres From the General Plan Designation of RD 1 to RD 4.5, RD 8, and RD 12 Generally Located Between Vernon Avenue and Benson Avenue, South of Adams Street, and North of the Chino Promenade Shopping Center and rezoning the same from an RD 1 designation to RD 4.5, RD 8, RD 12 designations" be adopted?

SAN BERNARDINO

11/7/2017

Measure J

Fail (2.3rds required)

To provide long-term sustainability, adequate resources for emergency response, and more equitably spread the cost of Barstow Fire Protection District's services across the population it serves, including 60,000,000 annual nonresident travelers who consume taxable goods and services in Barstow, shall an ordinance be adopted to increase the sales tax by one-half of one percent, providing \$3.8 million dollars annually, expiring if the Barstow Fire Protection District is transferred to an agency outside the City?

SAN BERNARDINO

11/7/2017

Victorville Measure K

Fail (2.3rds required)

To address crime issues, respond quickly to fires and medical emergencies, and make Victorville safer by adding 10 new police and 15 new firefighter/paramedics, shall Ordinance No. 2371 be adopted to impose a one-half percent (0.5%) transactions and use ("sales") tax, estimated to raise \$8.5 million annually, with all funds restricted to use on public safety services within Victorville?

SAN DIEGO

11/7/2017

Poway

Measure A

Fail

(INITIATIVE) Shall the ordinance changing the General Plan and Zoning Land Use Map to redesignate a portion of the Stoneridge Country Club from Open Space-Recreation to Residential Condominium with the Stoneridge Overlay Zone to allow for the development of not more than 180 residential condominium units for residents over the age of 55 be adopted?

SAN LUIS OBISPO

8/22/2017

San Luis Obispo

Measure B-17

Fail

(INITIATIVE) Shall an ordinance be adopted to replace former Chapter 15.10 of the San Luis Obispo Municipal Code, entitled "Rental Housing Inspection" (repealed by City Council Ordinance 1632, effective April 20, 2017), with new Chapter 15.10 to be entitled "Non-Discrimination in Housing?

SAN MATEO

6/6/2017

Atherton

Measure A

Pass

(ADVISORY) Should the Town of Atherton supplement private donations with available non-dedicated General Funds to meet the funding shortfall, where one exists, for construction of the new Town Center?

SAN MATEO

11/7/2017

Atherton Measure F

Fail (2.3rds required)

To continue providing funding to maintain neighborhood police patrols and the Town's ability to respond to emergencies, repairing and maintaining streets, and repairing and constructing storm drains, shall an ordinance be adopted to continue a Special Parcel Tax for three years and allowing for the expenditure of funds derived from such tax?

SAN MATEO

11/7/2017

Brisbane

Measure D

Pass

To pay for general municipal expenses, shall the City impose an annual business license tax on a soil recycling establishment of up to twenty (20%) of its gross receipts or up to two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000), whichever is greater?

SAN MATEO

11/7/2017

Brisbane

Measure E

Pass

To pay for general municipal expenses, shall the City increase the annual business license tax for certain recycling establishments up to \$4,000,000 (plus adjustments) per year?

SAN MATEO

11/7/2017 Burlingame

Measure I

Pass

To maintain/improve Burlingame's quality of life, including essential City facilities and services such as maintaining city streets, sidewalks and potholes; enhancing neighborhood police patrols/crime prevention programs, maintaining recreation programs and safe facilities for youth/teens; and other essential city services, shall the City of Burlingame enact an ongoing, 1/4 cent sales tax with authority to incur debt to accelerate infrastructure projects, providing \$2,000,000 annually, with annual audits, independent citizens' oversight, and all funds spent locally?

SAN MATEO

11/7/2017

Pacifica

Measure C

C Fail

#### TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2017

Shall the Ordinance entitled Pacifica Community Preservation, Rent Stabilization, and Renters' Rights Act which protects certain tenants of multi-family housing from excessive rent increases and no-fault evictions and ensures that Landlords receive a fair and reasonable rate of return on their investment, be adopted?

SAN MATEO 11/7/2017 Pacifica Measure G Pa

Shall an ordinance establishing a Marijuana Operations Tax at the rate of 6% of a Marijuana Operation's gross receipts, which may be decreased or increased after two years to a maximum of 10% by the City Council, to help fund municipal services, including police and fire protection services, emergency medical services, park, recreation, and street maintenance services, with no sunset date and estimated to generate \$360,000 annually in tax revenue, be adopted?

SAN MATEO 11/7/2017 San Mateo Measure B Pass

To conform with state law, shall the Charter amendment changing the timing of the city's general municipal elections from odd-numbered years to evennumbered years, and extending the terms of the council members elected in 2015 and 2017 for a period of one year, respectively, be adopted?

SAN MATEO 11/7/2017 San Mateo Measure H Pass

Shall the charter amendment revising the City of San Mateo's charter to: allow for regular council meetings to be held at locations other than City Hall, when necessary; updating the title of Personnel Director to Human Resources Director; and revising the Fiscal Administration provisions to conform with state law and current practices be adopted?

SANTA BARBARA 11/7/2017 Santa Barbara Measure C Pass To maintain essential services and repair critical infrastructure including: Police, fire, and 911 emergency medical response; local streets, potholes, bridges

and storm drains; neighborhood f re stations/public safety infrastructure; parks, youth/senior services; address homelessness; help retain local businesses; support other general services; shall the City of Santa Barbara enact a one-cent sales tax providing approximately 22 million dollars annually unless ended by voters; requiring audits, citizens oversight, public disclosure of spending, and all funds used locally?

SANTA CLARA 4/25/2017 Campbell Measure A Pass

Shall the ordinance levying a business license tax on marijuana businesses at the initial rate of 7% and a maximum rate of 15% of the gross receipts of the marijuana business be adopted to continue until repealed by the City Council or City voters, which is estimated to raise \$130,000 - \$260,000 annually for general City services, such as police, fire and code enforcement services?

SANTA CLARA 4/25/2017 Campbell Measure B Fail

Shall the ordinance allowing the City to license up to three medical marijuana dispensaries in Campbell, which would also be allowed to deliver medical marijuana to qualified patients throughout the City, and that would allow every qualified patient and primary caregiver to cultivate up to 100 square feet or 500 square feet of marijuana, respectively, without a license be adopted?

SANTA CLARA 4/25/2017 Campbell Measure C Pass

Shall the ordinance imposing a moratorium on marijuana dispensaries in Campbell until at least April 1, 2019 be adopted, allowing time for study of traffic, neighborhood and safety issues, and providing the City Council discretion to allow for dispensaries after that date, provided that the dispensaries be at least 100 feet from residential properties and 600 feet from child care facilities, schools, parks and community centers, and other dispensaries?

SHASTA 8/29/2017 Shasta Lake Measure A Pass (2.3rds required)
Shall an ordinance be adopted imposing a cannabis business tax on cultivation up to \$26 per square foot, on manufacturing up to \$25 per square foot, and

Shall an ordinance be adopted imposing a cannabis business tax on cultivation up to \$26 per square foot, on manufacturing up to \$25 per square foot, and on other cannabis businesses up to 12% gross receipts to provide funding for local law enforcement/code enforcement within the City of Shasta Lake?

SONOMA 6/6/2017 Santa Rosa Measure C Fail

Shall those provisions of the City of Santa Rosa Residential Rent Stabilization and Other Tenant Protections Ordinance that establish rent control for certain residential rental properties, prohibit landlords from evicting tenants of certain properties except for specified reasons, and provide other protections to tenants, be approved?

SONOMA 6/6/2017 Santa Rosa Measure D Pass

Shall an ordinance be adopted authorizing a cannabis business tax in the City of Santa Rosa on cultivation businesses up to \$25 per square foot of cultivation area (annually adjusted by CPI) or 8% of gross receipts, and on cannabis manufacturing, distribution and dispensary businesses up to 8% of gross receipts, to maintain general funds for City services and to address cannabis industry impacts, with all funds subject to audits and staying local, generating undetermined revenue until repealed?

SONOMA 11/7/2017 Cotati Measure G Pass

Shall an ordinance be adopted authorizing a City of Cotati cannabis business tax on cannabis cultivation businesses up to \$25 per square foot of cultivation area (annually adjusted by CPI) or 8% of gross receipts, and on cannabis manufacturing, distribution and dispensary businesses up to 8% of gross receipts, to maintain local City services and address cannabis industry impacts with all funds subject to annual audits, generating approximately \$300,000 in revenue per year until repealed?

SONOMA 11/7/2017 Windsor Measure H Pass

#### TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2017

Shall the Town of Windsor adopt a resolution extending the Urban Growth Boundary ("UGB") to December 31, 2040, revising the boundaries of the UGB to include approximately 22.5 additional acres for future business growth known as Assessor's Parcel Numbers 164-150-010, 164-150-009, and 164-150-054, and amending certain policies regarding implementation of the UGB?

STANISLAUS 11/7/2017 Modesto Measure T Pass
Shall the City of Modesto adopt an ordinance establishing a cannabis business tax on all cannabis businesses within the City, up to 10% of gross receipts

of each business, potentially generating approximately one million dollars or more in revenues annually for general City services, including neighborhood safety, maintaining parks and recreation, and job creation, with all revenues remaining local, and which continues until repealed by the City Council or the voters?

TULARE 6/6/2017 Lindsay Measure O Pass
To help prevent the loss of vital public safety services, to maintain essential infrastructure, and to protect other general services, shall the City of Lindsay

enact a general-purpose, one-percent sales tax, expected to generate \$908,000 annually, with annual independent audits?

TULARE 11/7/2017 Farmersville Measure P Pass
For local revenue to improve the quality of life and essential general City services for Farmersville residents including police, 911 emergency medical response, fire department services, gang prevention programs, recreational facilities, park maintenance and improvements, street maintenance and repairs

and other general services, shall Ordinance No. 483, imposing a one-half percent general transactions and use tax, be adopted? Estimated revenues of \$280,000 annually, indefinitely.

TULARE 11/7/2017 Farmersville Measure Q Pass Shall Ordinance No. 484 be adopted authorizing a commercial cannabis business tax in the City of Farmersville on commercial cannabis businesses up to

\$25 per square foot (annually adjusted by CPI) or 10% of gross receipts, to maintain essential public safety and general City services including, but not limited to, police, drug addiction and gang prevention, park maintenance, street maintenance for Farmersville residents, generating undetermined revenue, potentially \$500,000 to \$3.5 million annually, until repealed?

TULARE 11/7/2017 Woodlake Measure R Pass

To provide local funding to improve quality of life; parks and recreation programs; neighborhood police patrols; gang prevention programs; street maintenance; lighting, landscaping, and trails; and other essential services, shall City of Woodlake Ordinance No. 609, imposing a one percent general transactions and use tax with independent audits and citizens' oversight, be adopted? Revenues are estimated at approximately \$430,000 annually, indefinitely.

TULARE 11/7/2017 Woodlake Measure S Pass

Voters in California voted to impose state taxes on licensed cannabis businesses. Shall Ordinance No. 610 be enacted, imposing a local general tax on cannabis businesses at a rate not exceeding \$25 per square foot (annually adjusted by CPI) or 10% of gross receipts, to maintain essential public safety and general City services for Woodlake residents; generating undetermined revenue until repealed?

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2017

	Tax	ES	Bon	IDS	CHAR AMEND		Advis	SORY	Initia	TIVE	Red	ALL	Ordin	ANCE	ALL C	ITY <b>M</b> EAS	SURES
	Pass	Fail	Pass	Fail	Pass	Fail	Pass	Fail	Pass	Fail	Pass	Fail	Pass	Fail	Pass	Fail	Total
El Dorado	0	1													0	1	1
Fresno	0	3													0	3	3
Humboldt	1	0													1	0	1
Imperial	1	0											1	0	2	0	2
Kern	1	1													1	1	2
Kings	1	0													1	0	1
Los Angeles	7	7	0	1	4	1							5	1	16	10	26
Marin	1	0											1	0	2	0	2
Monterey	3	0									1	0			4	0	4
Plumas	0	1													0	1	1
Riverside	3	1							0	1			1	1	4	3	7
San Bernardino	0	2	1	0									1	1	2	3	5
San Diego									0	1					0	1	1
San Luis Obispo									0	1					0	1	1
San Mateo	4	1			2	0	1	0					0	1	7	2	9
Santa Barbara	1	0													1	0	1
Santa Clara	1	0											1	1	2	1	3
Shasta	1	0													1	0	1
Sonoma	2	0											1	1	3	1	4
Stanislaus	1	0													1	0	1
Tulare	5	0													5	0	5
All Counties	33	17	1	1	6	1	1	0	0	3	1	0	11	6	53	28	81

TABLE 1.4 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2017

	LANE	USE	SAI	ETY	Gove	RNANCE	TRAN	ISPORT	FAC	ILITIES	Но	JSING		NERAL VICES	Rev	ENUES	Au (	CITY MEA	ASURES
	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	Pass	FAIL	TOTAL
El Dorado			0	0			0	1									0	1	1
Fresno			0	2											0	1	0	3	3
Humboldt															1	0	1	0	1
Imperial					1	0									1	0	2	0	2
Kern			0	1											1	0	1	1	2
Kings															1	0	1	0	1
Los Angeles	3	2	1	2	5	1			0	2					7	3	16	10	26
Marin	1	0													1	0	2	0	2
Monterey			1	0	1	0									2	0	4	0	4
Plumas				1													0	1	1
Riverside	0	1	2	0									1	1	1	1	4	3	7
San Bernardino	1	1	0	2									1	0			2	3	5
San Diego	0	1															0	1	1
San Luis Obispo											0	1					0	1	1
San Mateo					2	0			1	0	0	1			4	1	7	2	9
Santa Barbara															1	0	1	0	1
Santa Clara	1	1	1	0													2	1	3
Shasta			1	0													1	0	1
Sonoma	1	0									0	1			2	0	3	1	4
Stanislaus															1	0	1	0	1
Tulare															5	0	5	0	5
All Counties	7	6	6	8	9	1	0	1	1	2	0	3	2	1	28	6	53	28	81

# PART 2 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

								INC-	Number	VOTES FOR	TOTAL		
COUNTY	Date CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	UMB- ENT	OF CAN- DIDATES	CAN- DIDATES		PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTE
ALAMEDA	3/7/2017 Berkeley	CITY COUNCIL	4	Short	Harrison	Kate	International Justice Advisor	No	2	1,607		61.7%	
	•				Gould	Ben	Environmental Analyst/Researcher	No	2	992	2,606	38.1%	No
ALPINE	No City Contests						·						
AMADOR	No City Contests												
BUTTE	No City Contests												
CALAVERAS	No City Contests												
COLUSA	No City Contests												
CONTRA COSTA	No City Contests												
DEL NORTE	No City Contests												
EL DORADO	No City Contests												
FRESNO	3/7/2017 Clovis	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Ashbeck	Lynne	Councilmember/Hospital Vice-President	Yes	2	7,782	12,583	61.8%	Yes
					Mouanoutoua	Vongsavanh	Planning Commissioner/Educator	Yes	2	4,696	12,583	37.3%	Yes
				Short	Bessinger	Drew M.	Peace Officer	Yes	3	6,155	9,191	67.0%	Yes
					Soares	Paulo "Paul"	Healthcare Executive	No	3	2,801	9,191	30.5%	No
					Perry	Aaronjack	No Ballot Designation	No	3	217	9,191	2.4%	No
GLENN	No City Contests												
HUMBOLDT	No City Contests												
IMPERIAL	11/7/2017 Brawley	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Hamby	Luke	No Ballot Designation	No	4	1,445	4,556	31.7%	Yes
					Couchman	Sam	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,204	4,556	26.4%	Yes
					Castro	Ramon	No Ballot Designation	No	4	1,049	4,556	23.0%	
					Noriega	Helen M.	Incumbent	Yes	4	858	4,556	18.8%	No
INYO	No City Contests												
KERN	6/6/2017 Bakersfield	CITY COUNCIL	5	Full	Freeman	Bruce	Businessman	Yes	3	3,649	5,881	62.0%	Yes
					Nance	Ryan	Vocational Instructor	No	3	1,923	5,881	32.7%	No
					Pineo	Noel	Stay-at-Home Father	No	3	309	5,881	5.3%	No
KINGS	No City Contests												
LAKE	No City Contests												
LASSEN	No City Contests												
LOS ANGELES	2/28/2017 Burbank	CITY CLERK		Full	Mullins	Zizette	Incumbent	Yes	1	7,946	7,946	100.0%	Yes
		CITY COUNCIL		Full	Talamantes	Jess	Councilmember/Retired Firefighter	Yes	8	5,485	28,705	19.1%	Yes
					Frutos	Robert "Bob"	Retired Policeman/Councilmember	Yes	8	5,320	28,705	18.5%	Runof
					Gordon	David	Incumbent	Yes	8	4,799	28,705	16.7%	Runof
					Springer	Sharon	Non Profit Administrator	No	8	3,914	28,705	13.6%	Runoff

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

County	Date	Сіту	Office	DISTRICT/ SEAT		CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	Candidate's Ballot Designation	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES		PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES	2/28/2017	Burbank	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Guillen	Juan	Small Business Owner	No	8	3,176	28,705	11.1%	Runoff
(continued)						Sousa	Gregory R.	Studio Transportation Driver	No	8	2,465	28,705	8.6%	No
						Anthony	Konstantine	Social Media Manager	No	8	2,117	28,705	7.4%	No
						Carr	Richard	Retired Property Manager	No	8	1,429	28,705	5.0%	No
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Kukta	Debbie	Incumbent	Yes	1	7,972	7,972	100.0%	Yes
	3/7/2017	Azusa	CITY CLERK		Full	Cornejo, Jr.	Jeffrey L.	City Treasurer	No	1	2,798	2,798	100.0%	Yes
			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Gonzales	Robert	Councilmember	Yes	3	2,075	5,104	40.7%	Yes
						Alvarez	Edward J.	Councilmember/Federal Auditor	Yes	3	1,907	5,104	37.4%	Yes
						Mendez	Andrew N.	Businessman/Realtor	No	3	1,122	5,104	22.0%	No
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Vasquez, Jr.	Arthur M.	City Clerk	No	1	2,790	2,790	100.0%	Yes
			MAYOR		Full	Romero	Joseph R.	Mayor	Yes	3	1,922	3,327	57.8%	Yes
						Macias	Uriel E.	Councilmember/Financial Officer	No	3	958	3,327	28.8%	No
						Rentschler	Russell B.	Pest Control	No	3	447	3,327	13.4%	No
		Bell	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Romero	Alicia	Councilmember/Educator	Yes	4	825	2,857	28.9%	Yes
						Quintana	Ana Maria	Councilmember/Attorney	Yes	4	814	2,857	28.5%	Yes
						Alvarez	Violeta	Businesswoman	No	4	723	2,857	25.3%	No
						Lopez	Susana	Immigration Legal Assistant	No	4	495	2,857	17.3%	No
		Bellflower	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Koops	Dan	Councilmember/Businessman	Yes	5	2,221	7,291	30.5%	Yes
						Garza	Juan F.	Appointed City Councilmember/Consultant	Yes	5	2,087	7,291	28.6%	Yes
						Wilson	Robert "Bob"	Small Business Owner	No	5	1,635	7,291	22.4%	No
						Drayer	John Paul	Educator/Businessman	No	5	723	7,291	9.9%	No
		-				Mejia	Rene E.	Healthcare Wholesaler/Educator	No	5	625	7,291	8.6%	No
		Beverly Hills	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Mirisch	John A.	Mayor, City of Beverly Hills	No	8	2,154	12,113	17.8%	Yes
						Friedman	Les	Attorney/Beverly Hills Commissioner	No	8	1,966	12,113	16.2%	Yes
						Wunderlich	Robert (Bob)	Economist/MWD Director	No	8	1,948	12,113	16.1%	Yes
						Kranse	Nancy	Vice-Mayor/Councilmember/Educator	Yes	8	1,930	12,113	15.9%	No
						Finkel	Eliot	Treasurer of Beverly Hills	No	8	1,652	12,113	13.6%	No
						Markowitz	Vera	Businesswoman	No	8	1,147	12,113	9.5%	No
						Bilak	Frances	City Commissioner/Attorney	No	8	1,078	12,113	8.9%	No
						Wayne	Jim	Business Owner	No	8	238	12,113	2.0%	No
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Fisher	Howard S.	Tax Attorney/Commissioner	No	1	2,244	2,244	100.0%	Yes
		Claremont	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Shroeder	Larry	City Councilmember	Yes	8	3,799	11,747	32.3%	Yes
						Calaycay	Corey	City Councilmember	Yes	8	3,271	11,747	27.8%	Yes
						Courser	Zachary	Professor	No	8	2,135	11,747	18.2%	No
						Grynchal	Anthony	Realtor	No	8	926	11,747	7.9%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

County	Date	Сіту	Office	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	Candidate's Ballot Designation	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTE
LOS ANGELES	3/7/2017	Claremont	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Prattela	Abraham	CEO/Realtor/Pastor	No	8	630	11,747	5.4%	No
(continued)						Monroe	Murray G.	Investment Advisor	No	8	441	11,747	3.8%	No
						Keenan	Michael	Professional Window Cleaner	No	8	367	11,747	3.1%	No
						Johnson	Korey	Business Leader	No	8	178	11,747	1.5%	No
		Covina	CITY CLERK		Full	Walczak	Mary Lou	Covina City Clerk	Yes	1	2,826	2,826	100.0%	Yes
			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Marquez	Jorge A.	Field Deputy/Councilmember	Yes	4	2,600	8,288	31.4%	Yes
						King	John C.	Councilmember/Business Manager	Yes	4	2,450	8,288	29.6%	Yes
						Linares	Victor	Businessman	No	4	2,232	8,288	26.9%	Yes
						Polzin	Neil L.	Internet/Fleet Manager	No	4	1,006	8,288	12.1%	No
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Cobbett	Geoffrey	City Treasurer	Yes	1	2,763	2,763	100.0%	Yes
		Cudahy	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Guerrero	Jack M.	Councilman/CPA/Economist	Yes	9	559	2,426	23.0%	Yes
						Garcia	Chris	CEO/Councilmember	Yes	9	552	2,426	22.8%	Yes
						Rodriguez	Elizabeth	Public Safety Commissioner	No	9	441	2,426	18.2%	No
						Vasquez	Sergio	No Ballot Designation	No	9	355	2,426	14.6%	No
						Fuentes	Martin U.	Business/Planning Commissioner	No	9	350	2,426	14.4%	No
						Ramirez	Jessica	Accountant/Coordinator	No	9	62	2,426	2.6%	No
						Ochoa	Adam Alexander	Health Care Representative	No	9	44	2,426	1.8%	No
						Lopez	Jesus Ruiz	Business Owner	No	9	39	2,426	1.6%	No
		-				Fragoso	Carlos	Business Owner	No	9	24	2,426	1.0%	No
		Gardena	CITY CLERK		Full	Semeza	Mina	City Clerk	Yes	1	5,006	5,006	100.0%	Yes
			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Tanaka	Rodney G.	Pastor/Retired Policeman	No	8	2,747	10,098	27.2%	Yes
						Kaskanian	Harout "Art"	Businessman/Planning Commissioner	No	8	1,760	10,098	17.4%	Yes
						Francis	Paulette C.	Teacher	No	8	1,648	10,098	16.3%	No
						Chandler-Cole	Charity	Healthcare Nonprofit Director	No	8	1,286	10,098	12.7%	No
						Love	Wanda	Director Chamber Commerce	No	8	966	10,098	9.6%	No
						Jamora	Nolan	Director Operations/Businessowner	No	8	620	10,098	6.1%	No
						Lawrence	Shannon W.	Civil Servant/Analyst	No	8	570	10,098	5.6%	No
			-			Le	Cammie	Analyst/R.E. Broker	No	8	501	10,098	5.0%	No
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Tuskiyama	J. Ingrid	Treasurer Incumbent	Yes	2	3,702	5,590	66.2%	Yes
			-			Evans	Kathleen "Suzy"	Business Owner	No	2	1,888	5,590	33.8%	No
			MAYOR		Full	Cerda	Tasha	City Councilmember	No	7	1,317	6,262	21.0%	Yes
						Johnson	Rachel C.	Educator/Retired City Councilmember	No	7	1,245	6,262	19.9%	No
						Henderson	Mark E.	Mayor Pro Tem	Yes	7	1,198	6,262	19.1%	No
						Terauchi	Terrence "Terry"	Criminal Prosecutor/Councilman	No	7	913	6,262	14.6%	No
						Medina	Daniel "Dan"	Gardena City Councilman	No	7	835	6,262	13.3%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

County	Date	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT		CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES		PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES	3/7/2017	Gardena	MAYOR		Full	Ikejiri	Ronald K.	Attorney at Law	No	7	652	6,262	10.4%	No
(continued)						Kronberger	Warren	Compressor Mechanic Supervisor	No	7	102	6,262	1.6%	No
		Glendora	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Allawos	Michael	Chief Executive Officer	No	5	3,495	10,932	32.0%	Yes
						Davis	Karen K.	Pastor	No	5	2,756	10,932	25.2%	Yes
						Landmann	Erica	Educator	No	5	2,398	10,932	21.9%	No
						Murabito	Gene	Business Owner/Councilmember	Yes	5	1,780	10,932	16.3%	No
						Stephan	Kamal	Community Volunteer	No	5	503	10,932	4.6%	No
		Huntington Park	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Macias	Karina	Councilmember/Businessonwer	Yes	6	1,730	5,579	31.0%	Yes
						Avila	Manuel "Manny"	Councilmember/Businessonwer	Yes	6	1,408	5,579	25.2%	Yes
						Amezquita	Valentin Palos	Environmental Engineer	No	6	723	5,579	13.0%	No
						Herrera	Laura M.	Social Justice Advocate	No	6	714	5,579	12.8%	No
						Reynoso	Alex	Law Enforcement	No	6	568	5,579	10.2%	No
						Porter	Kerry	Plumbing Consultant/Caregiver	No	6	436	5,579	7.8%	No
		La Canada Flintridge	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Curtis	Jonathan C.	Councilmember/Attorney-At-Law	Yes	3	2,665	7,005	38.0%	Yes
						Spence	David A.	Councilmember/Businessman	Yes	3	2,565	7,005	36.6%	Yes
						Eich	Keith	Engineer/Businessman/Educator	No	3	1,775	7,005	25.3%	No
		La Mirada	CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Lewis	John	Planning Commissioner/Businessowner	No	4	442	1,305	33.9%	Yes
						Gramajo	Diane	Businesswoman	No	4	359	1,305	27.5%	No
						Emmerling	Jim	Business Executive	No	4	259	1,305	19.8%	No
						Aiello	Tony	Business Owner/Journalist	No	4	245	1,305	18.8%	No
				2	Full	Sarega	Andrew	Incumbent	Yes	2	477	865	55.1%	Yes
						Deal	Pauline L.	Incumbent	No	2	388	865	44.9%	No
		La Verne	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Carder	Robin M.	City Council Member	Yes	5	3,369	10,856	31.0%	Yes
						Davis	Muir	Senior Project Manager	Yes	5	2,688	10,856	24.8%	Yes
						Lyons	Matthew	Deputy District Director	No	5	2,328	10,856	21.4%	No
						Garwick	Keith	Forensic Science Instructor	No	5	1,708	10,856	15.7%	No
						Karr	George	MBA/JD Candidate	No	5	763	10,856	7.0%	No
			MAYOR		Full	Kendrick	Don	Mayor	Yes	2	3,198	6,389	50.1%	Yes
						Hepburn	Tim	City Council/Businessman	No	2	3,191	6,389	49.9%	No
		Lakewood	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Rogers	Todd	Lakewood Council Member	Yes	6	4,854	19,178	25.3%	Yes
						Croft	Steve	Incumbent	Yes	6	4,596	19,178	24.0%	Yes
						Dubois	Diane	Incumbent	Yes	6	4,596	19,178	24.0%	Yes
						Slaughter	Gregory "Greg"	Retired Police/Professor	No	6	2,398	19,178	12.5%	No
						Rodriguez	Justin	Government/Economics Teacher	No	6	1,938	19,178	10.1%	No
						Gafford	Connor Russell	Business Owner/Student	No	6	796	19,178	4.2%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

County	Date	City	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	Candidate's Ballot Designation	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES		PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES	3/7/2017	Los Angeles	CITY ATTORNEY		Full	Feuer	Mike	Los Angeles City Attorney	Yes	1	306,867	306,867	100.0%	Yes
(continued)			CITY CONTROLLER		Full	Galperin	Ron S.	Los Angeles City Controller	Yes	1	291,321	291,321	100.0%	Yes
			CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Cedillo	Gilbert "Gil"	Los Angeles City Councilmember	Yes	4	10,396	21,069	49.3%	Runoff
						Bray-Ali	Joe	Community Advocate	No	4	8,000	21,069	38.0%	Runoff
						Hernandez	Giovany	Education Advocate	No	4	1,798	21,069	8.5%	No
						Rosas	Jesse	Businessman	No	4	875	21,069	4.2%	No
				3	Full	Blumenfield	Bob	Los Angeles City Councilmember	Yes	1	19,063	19,063	100.0%	Yes
				5	Full	Koretz	Paul	Los Angeles City Councilmember	Yes	3	25,914	39,335	65.9%	Yes
						Max Creed	Jesse	Veterans' Advocate/Attorney	No	3	11,986	39,335	30.5%	No
						Herd	Mark Matthew	Political Consultant	No	3	1,435	39,335	3.6%	No
				7	Full	Rodriguez	Monica	Los Angeles Commissioner/Businesswoman	No	20	6,091	21,896	27.8%	Runoff
						Torossian	Karo	Chief Environmental Planner	No	20	3,603	21,896	16.5%	Runoff
						Ratliff	Mónica	Teacher/School Boardmember	No	20	3,104	21,896	14.2%	No
						Miner	Art	Aerospace Engineer/Businessman	No	20	1,775	21,896	8.1%	No
						Gibson	Dale	Rancher/Stuntman	No	20	1,351	21,896	6.2%	No
						Martinez	Venessa	Deputy Attorney General	No	20	1,160	21,896	5.3%	No
						Ayala	Olga	Community Organizer	No	20	931	21,896	4.3%	No
						Flores	Fred A.	Commissioner/Veterans' Advocate	No	20	854	21,896	3.9%	No
						Chase	Nicole	Education Advocate/Rancher	No	20	596	21,896	2.7%	No
						Lara	Carlos	Community Leader	No	20	314	21,896	1.4%	No
						Clark	Krystee	Neighborhood Council President	No	20	290	21,896	1.3%	No
						Reed	Mark	Businessman/Realtor/Rancher	No	20	275	21,896	1.3%	No
						Schaefer	Mike	Public Interest Advocate	No	20	266	21,896	1.2%	No
						Saunders	Connie	Lender Mortgage Subservicer	No	20	258	21,896	1.2%	No
						Becerra	Franki Marie	Manager, State Government	No	20	226	21,896	1.0%	No
						Barron	David Jesse	Neighborhood Council Boardmember	No	20	218	21,896	1.0%	No
						Higginson	John T.	Business Owner/Counselor	No	20	169	21,896	0.8%	No
						Gomes	Terrence "Terry"	Financial Advisor	No	20	149	21,896	0.7%	No
						Castillo	José G.	Real Estate Broker	No	20	139	21,896	0.6%	No
						Corwin	Bonnie D.	Businessman/Bookkeeper	No	20	127	21,896	0.6%	No
				9	Full	Price, Jr.	Curren D.	Los Angeles City Councilmember	Yes	3	6,565	10,427	63.0%	Yes
						Nuño	Jorge	Social Entrepreneur	No	3	2,400	10,427	23.0%	No
						Cabrera	Adriana	Neighborhood Council Boardmember	No	3	1,462	10,427	14.0%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

Salans	COUNTY LOS ANGELES (continued)	Date 3/7/2017	City Los Angeles	OFFICE  CITY COUNCIL	DISTRICT/ SEAT 11	TERM OF OFFICE Full Full	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME  Bonin Rudisill Ryavec O'Farrell Shain	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME  Mike Robin Mark  Mitch Sylvie	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION  Los Angeles City Councilmember, District 11 Businesswoman/Coastal Activist President, Residents Association  Los Angeles City Councilmember Housing Rights Advocate	INC- UMB- ENT Yes No No Yes	Number of Canbidates  3 3 3 6 6	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES 31,865 7,047 5,967 17,053 4,338		15.7% 13.3%	Yes No No Yes
15   Full   Buscaino   Joe   Los Angeles City Councilmember   Yes   3   12,497   16,698   74.9%   Yes   Armold   Caney   Neighborhood Council Boardmember   No   3   2,750   16,698   16,55%   No   No   Audio Consultant/Activist   No   3   1,449   16,696   16,55%   No   No   No   Audio Consultant/Activist   No   3   1,449   16,696   16,55%   No   No   No   No   No   No   No   N							De La Torre	David	International Business Manager	No	6	1,534	28,779	5.3%	No
MAYOR					15	Full	Buscaino Arnold	Joe Caney	Los Angeles City Councilmember Neighborhood Council Boardmember	Yes No	3	12,497 2,750	16,696 16,696	74.9% 16.5%	Yes No
Harman   Diane "Pinky"   Retired Educator/Actor   No   11   5,115   407,147   1.3%   No   Saltsburg   David   Community Activist   No   11   4,809   407,147   1.2%   No   No   No   No   No   No   No   N				MAYOR		Full	Garcetti	Eric	Los Angeles Mayor Small Businessperson	Yes	11	331,310 33,228	407,147 407,147	81.4%	Yes
Draiman   Y.J. J. Neighborhood Council Boardmember   No   11   3,705   407,147   0.9%   No   Pierre   Frantz   Community Activist   No   11   3,368   407,147   0.8%   No   No   Preven   Eric   Writer/Producer   No   11   3,023   407,147   0.7%   No   No   No   No   No   No   No   N							Harman	Diane "Pinky"	Retired Educator/Actor	No	11	5,115	407,147	1.3%	No
Preven   Eric   Writer/Producer   No   11   3,023   407,147   0.7%   No   No   Kremer   Yuval   Math Educator/Activist   No   11   2,436   407,147   0.6%   No   No   Amori   Paul E.   Creative Artist   No   11   2,231   407,147   0.5%   No   No   No   No   No   No   No   N							Draiman	Y.J. J.	Neighborhood Council Boardmember	No	11	3,705	407,147	0.9%	No
Manhattan Beach         CITY COUNCIL         Full Hersman         Napolitano Hersman         Steve Nancy         Los Angeles County Projects Coordinator         No         8         4,450         18,631         23.9% Yes           Hersman         Nancy         Attorney/Planning Commissioner         No         8         3,472         18,631         18.6% Yes           Montgomery         Richard P.         Small Business Owner         No         8         2,753         18,631         14.8% Yes           Burton         Mark         Councilmember/Municipal Attorney         Yes         8         2,521         18,631         13.5% No           D'Errico         Tony         Councilmember/Municipal Attorney         Yes         8         1,923         18,631         10.3% No           Wallace         Diane Barkelew         Educator         No         8         1,364         18,631         7.3% No           Conway         Chris         Planning Commissioner/Architect         No         8         1,285         18,631         4.6% No           Ungoco         Joseph         Strategic Communications Consultant         No         8         3,898         10.0.0% Yes							Kremer	Yuval	Writer/Producer Math Educator/Activist	No No	11	3,023 2,436	407,147 407,147	0.7% 0.6%	No No
Burton         Mark         Councilmember/Municipal Attorney         Yes         8         2,521         18,631         13.5%         No           D'Errico         Tony         Councilmember/Municipal Attorney         Yes         8         1,923         18,631         10.3%         No           Wallace         Diane Barkelew         Educator         No         8         1,364         18,631         7.3%         No           Conway         Chris         Planning Commissioner/Architect         No         8         1,285         18,631         6.9%         No           Ungoco         Joseph         Strategic Communications Consultant         No         8         863         18,631         4.6%         No           CITY TREASURER         Full         Lilligren         Tim         City Treasurer/CPA         Yes         1         3,898         3,898         10.0.0%         Yes			Manhattan Beach	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Napolitano Hersman	Steve Nancy	Los Angeles County Projects Coordinator Attorney/Planning Commissioner	No No	8	4,450 3,472	18,631 18,631	23.9% 18.6%	Yes Yes
Conway         Chris         Planning Commissioner/Architect         No         8         1,285         18,631         6.9%         No           Ungoco         Joseph         Strategic Communications Consultant         No         8         863         18,631         4.6%         No           CITY TREASURER         Full         Lilligren         Tim         City Treasurer/CPA         Yes         1         3,898         3,898         100.0%         Yes							Burton D'Errico	Mark Tony	Councilmember/Municipal Attorney Councilmember/Municipal Attorney	Yes Yes	8	2,521 1,923	18,631 18,631	13.5% 10.3%	No No
				CITY TDE AGUIDED		F!'	Conway Ungoco	Chris Joseph	Planning Commissioner/Architect Strategic Communications Consultant	No No	8	1,285 863	18,631 18,631	6.9% 4.6%	No No
			Monterey Park								1				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

COUNTY	Date	City	Office	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES		PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES	3/7/2017	Monterey Park	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Chan	Peter	Council Member	Yes	6	2,546	9,048	28.1%	Yes
(continued)						Liang	Hans	Councilman/Probation Officer	Yes	6	2,223	9,048	24.6%	Yes
						Leung	Margaret	Engineering Project Manager	No	6	1,767	9,048	19.5%	No
						Avila	Randall	Government Affairs Coordinator	No	6	1,401	9,048	15.5%	No
						Felix, Jr.	Anthony	Administrative Assistant	No	6	737	9,048	8.1%	No
						Avilia	Joe Ray	Handyman	No	6	374	9,048	4.1%	No
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Leon	Joseph	City Treasurer	Yes	1	3,667	3,667	100.0%	Yes
		Norwalk	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Perez	Jennifer	Businesswoman/Planning Commissioner	No	9	2,373	9,425	25.2%	Yes
						Ayala	Tony	Commissioner/Retired Administrator	No	9	2,298	9,425	24.4%	Yes
						Free	Esperanza "Espie"	Public Relations Manager	No	9	1,499	9,425	15.9%	No
						Aranda	Enrique	Business Owner/Educator	No	9	1,315	9,425	14.0%	No
						Martinez	Candy	Cerritos College Volunteer	No	9	653	9,425	6.9%	No
						Cardenas	Harvey	Retired	No	9	399	9,425	4.2%	No
						Mercado	Marcel A.	State Farm representative	No	9	348	9,425	3.7%	No
						Martinez	Debbie	Color Guard Advisor	No	9	279	9,425	3.0%	No
						Armenta	Jamie E.	Certified Mediator/Merchandiser	No	9	261	9,425	2.8%	No
					Short	Rios	Margarita	Police Sergeant/Board Member	Yes	3	3,433	5,376	63.9%	Yes
						Menchacha	Ken	Norwalk Intervention Worker	No	3	1,466	5,376	27.3%	No
						Uribe	Alberto "Xzevious"	Human Resources Coordinator	No	3	477	5,376	8.9%	No
		Palos Verdes	CITY COUNCIL		Short	Kao	Kenneth J.	Attorney/Planning Commissioner	No	3	2,242	5,673	39.5%	Yes
						Davidson	Sanford S.	Physician	No	3	2,001	5,673	35.3%	Yes
						Goodhart	Jim	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,430	5,673	25.2%	No
			CITY TREASURER		Short	Lozzi	Victoria A.	Corporate Banker	No	3	1,991	3,264	61.0%	Yes
						Rea	John	Appointed City Councilmember	No	3	741	3,264	22.7%	No
						Nyman	Jim	Businessman	No	3	532	3,264	16.3%	No
		Paramount	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Guillen	Laurie	Police Officer	No	4	1,141	3,593	31.8%	Yes
						Lemons	Peggy	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,008	3,593	28.1%	Yes
						Daniels	Gene	Incumbent	Yes	4	857	3,593	23.9%	No
						De Leon	Jose	Automotive Technician	No	4	587	3,593	16.3%	No
		Pasadena	CITY COUNCIL	3	Full	Kennedy	John J.	Councilmember/Management Executive	Yes	1	1,108	1,108	100.0%	Yes
				5	Full	Gordo	Victor M.	Councilmember/Attorney	Yes	3	1,260	1,984	63.5%	Yes
						Padley	Krystal Lopez	Translator/Editor/Parent	No	3	655	1,984		No
						Morales	Aida	No Ballot Designation	No	3	69	1,984		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

COUNTY	Date	Сіту	Office	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	Candidate's Ballot Designation	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES		PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES	3/7/2017	Pasadena	CITY COUNCIL	7	Full	Wilson	Andy	Businessman/Appointed Councilmember	Yes	5	1,501	3,528	42.5%	Runoff
(continued)						Hosp	Phil	Securities Fraud Attorney	No	5	1,459	3,528	41.4%	Runoff
						Menchaca	Alejandro "Alex"	Attorney at Law	No	5	309	3,528	8.8%	No
						Witt	Bryan	Railroad Operations Supervisor	No	5	159	3,528	4.5%	No
						Tahilramani	Sheena	Small Business Owner	No	5	100	3,528	2.8%	No
		Redondo Beach	CITY ATTORNEY		Full	Webb	Michael W.	Redondo Beach City Attorney	Yes	1	9,903	9,903	100.0%	Yes
			CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Nehrenheim	Nils	Businessman and Lifeguard	No	3	1,734	3,613	48.0%	Runoff
						Barbee	Martha	Redondo Beach Councilwoman	Yes	3	1,536	3,613	42.5%	Runoff
						Strutzenberg	Rolf	Retired	No	3	343	3,613	9.5%	No
				2	Full	Loewenstein	Todd	Small Business Owner	No	2	2,180	3,570	61.1%	Yes
						Rodriguez	Doug	Redondo Beach Planning Commission	No	2	1,390	3,570	38.9%	No
				4	Full	Gran	John F.	Businessman/Budget Commissioner	No	3	1,358	2,490	54.5%	Yes
						Royds	Suzy	Small Business Owner	No	3	883	2,490	35.5%	No
						Wachter	Adam	IT Sales Executive	No	3	249	2,490	10.0%	No
			MAYOR		Full	Brand	Bill	Redondo Beach Councilmember	No	5	8,232	15,939	51.6%	Yes
						Aspel	Steve	Redondo Beach Mayor	Yes	5	7,038	15,939	44.2%	No
						Coleman	Eric J.	Teacher	No	5	294	15,939	1.8%	No
						Sachs	Michael Ian	Retired Environmental Technician	No	5	260	15,939	1.6%	No
						Mowatt	Christopher	Senior Paralegal	No	5	115	15,939	0.7%	No
		San Dimas	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Bertone	Denis	Councilmember/Retired Educator	Yes	5	1,860	6,869	27.1%	Yes
						Vienna	Ryan	Sheriff's Sergeant/Businessman	No	5	1,598	6,869	23.3%	Yes
						Green	Margie Kiddoo	Planning Commissioner/Businessowner	No	5	1,365	6,869	19.9%	No
						Bearse	Patrick	Law Enforcement/Lieutenant	No	5	1,283	6,869	18.7%	No
						Ramirez	Emma Maria	Retired Police Supervisor	No	5	763	6,869	11.1%	No
			MAYOR		Full	Morris	Curtis W,	Incumbent	Yes	1	2,920	2,920	100.0%	Yes
		San Fernando	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Fajardo	Joel	Vice Mayor/Businessman	Yes	6	965	2,789	34.6%	Yes
						Gonzales	Robert C.	Mayor/Civil Servant	Yes	6	848	2,789	30.4%	Yes
						Govea	David E.	Public School Teacher	No	6	325	2,789	11.7%	No
						Hayes	Jason	Educator	No	6	268	2,789	9.6%	No
						Perez	Marvin R.	Payroll Coordinator/Student	No	6	196	2,789	7.0%	No
						Berriozabal	Gilbert	Community Advocate	No	6	187	2,789	6.7%	No
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Solis	Margarita	Vice Mayor/Attorney	Yes	1	1,130	1,130	100.0%	Yes
		San Gabriel	CITY CLERK		Full	Nguyen	Thu "Julie"	Office Manager	No	3	1,461	3,374	43.3%	Yes
						Albert	Florencia	Attorney/Businessowner/Volunteer	No	3	1,205	3,374	35.7%	No
						Garcia	Mary Acuña	Community Volunteer	No	3	708	3,374	21.0%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

County	Date	Сіту	Office	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	Candidate's Ballot Designation	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES		PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES	3/7/2017	San Gabriel	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Pu	Jason	Councilmember/Business Attorney	Yes	7	1,804	9,491	19.0%	Yes
(continued)						Liao	Chin Ho	Councilmember/Structural Engineer	Yes	7	1,727	9,491	18.2%	Yes
						Menchaca	Denise	Business Owner	No	7	1,528	9,491	16.1%	Yes
						Delatorre	Mario	Businessperson	No	7	1,520	9,491	16.0%	No
						Bowles	Scott	Businessman	No	7	1,261	9,491	13.3%	No
						Chan	Eric L.	Water District Director	No	7	1,085	9,491	11.4%	No
						Fauré	A.J.	Educator	No	7	566	9,491	6.0%	No
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Sawkins	Kevin B.	Vice Mayor	Yes	1	2,682	2,682	100.0%	Yes
		Signal Hill	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Woods	Lori Y.	Council Member/Realtor	Yes	9	596	3,638	16.4%	Yes
						Wilson	Edward "Ed"	Councilman/Accountant	Yes	9	560	3,638	15.4%	Yes
						Copeland	Robert D.	City Clerk/Engineer	No	9	535	3,638	14.7%	Yes
						Jones	Keir	Small Business Owner	No	9	534	3,638	14.7%	No
						Blunden	Larry	Entrepreneur/City Treasurer	No	9	365	3,638	10.0%	No
						Harris	Maria	Retired University Professor	No	9	350	3,638	9.6%	No
						Churchill	Carol	Attorney-At-Law/Business Owner	No	9	329	3,638	9.0%	No
						Benson	Thomas	Planning Commissioner/Business Owner	No	9	274	3,638	7.5%	No
						Aula	Jason R.	Deputy Voters Registrar	No	9	95	3,638	2.6%	No
		South El Monte	MAYOR		Short	Olmos	Gloria	Mayor Pro Tem	No	2	781	1,398	55.9%	Yes
						Gonzales	Joseph J.	City Councilman/Businessman	Yes	2	617	1,398	44.1%	No
		South Gate	CITY CLERK		Full	Avalos	Carmen	City Clerk	Yes	2	2,351	4,650	50.6%	Yes
						Hurtado	Gil	Councilmember	No	2	2,299	4,650	49.4%	No
			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Rios	Al	Educator/College Administrator	No	9	1,637	7,413	22.1%	Yes
						Diaz	Denise	Traffic School Instructor	No	9	1,559	7,413	21.0%	Yes
						De Witt	Bill	Mayor	No	9	1,345	7,413	18.1%	No
						Perez	Jenny	Nonprofit Development Director	No	9	799	7,413	10.8%	No
						De la Paz	Jose	Chief Operating Officer	No	9	797	7,413	10.8%	No
						Johnson	Mary L	Community Volunteer	No	9	418	7,413	5.6%	No
						Pelayo	Edgar J.	Realtor	No	9	418	7,413	5.6%	No
						Morrison	Tyler Francis	Certified Nurse Aide	No	9	224	7,413	3.0%	No
						Montalvo	Christina	Pharmacy Technician	No	9	216	7,413	2.9%	No
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Martinez	Greg	Appointed City Treasurer	Yes	3	2,188	4,555	48.0%	Yes
						Lopez	Sonia	Field Representative	No	3	1,394	4,555	30.6%	No
						Morales	Miguel	Engineering Data Author	No	3	973	4,555	21.4%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

County	Date	Сіту	Office		TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	Candidate's Ballot Designation	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	
LOS ANGELES	3/7/2017	West Hollywood	CITY COUNCIL	F	-ull	Heilman	John	Councilmember	Yes	10	3,343	12,923	25.9%	Yes
(continued)						Duran	John J.	Entrepreneur	No	10	2,828	12,923	21.9%	Yes
						Martin	Steve	West Hollywood Councilmember	Yes	10	2,205	12,923	17.1%	No
						Blatt	Cynthia	Community Planning Specialist	No	10	2,200	12,923	17.0%	No
						Clark	Nate	Senior Retail Executive	No	10	711	12,923	5.5%	No
						Goodwin	Amanda	Entrepreneur	No	10	407	12,923	3.1%	No
						Romo	Carla	Attorney	No	10	392	12,923	3.0%	No
						Cautillo	Michael	Producer	No	10	365	12,923	2.8%	No
						Stillwell	Reed	Freelance Writer	No	10	264	12,923	2.0%	No
						Quaresimo	Joel	Small Business Owner	No	10	208	12,923	1.6%	No
	4/4/2017	Glendale	CITY CLERK	F	-ull	Kassakhian	Ardashes "Ardy"	City Clerk of Glendale	Yes	1	15,347	15,347	100.0%	Yes
			CITY COUNCIL	F	-ull	Najarian	Ara James	Glendale City Councilman	Yes	10	9,962	57,612	17.3%	Yes
						Agajani	Vrej	Engineer/TV Executive	No	10	9,551	57,612	16.6%	Yes
						Sinanyan	Zareh J.	Glendale Councilmember/Attorney	Yes	10	9,504	57,612	16.5%	Yes
						MacCarley	Mark	Retired Army General	No	10	6,941	57,612	12.0%	No
						Dinger	Rick	Small Business Owner	No	10	6,334	57,612	11.0%	No
						Wolfson	Susan T.	Account Clerk	No	10	3,878	57,612	6.7%	No
						Mohill	Mike	Retired Businessman	No	10	3,643	57,612	6.3%	No
						Gorder	Mike Van	Photographer/Musician/Filmmaker	No	10	3,148	57,612	5.5%	No
						Mehrabian	Onnik	Businessman/Parent	No	10	2,484	57,612	4.3%	No
						Michals	Grant	IT Consultant	No	10	2,167	57,612	3.8%	No
			CITY TREASURER	F	-ull	Manoukian	Rafi	Glendale City Treasurer	Yes	1	14,703	14,703	100.0%	Yes
		Inglewood	CITY COUNCIL	1 F	-ull	Dotson	George W.	Councilmember	Yes	4	934	1,421	65.7%	Yes
		•				Toler	Maxine	Human Resources Professional	No	4	371	1,421	26.1%	No
						Fisher	Leroy N.	Retired LAUSD Graphics	No	4	94	1,421	6.6%	No
						Ramirez	Hugo	Business Marketing Consultant	No	4	22	1,421	1.5%	No
				2 F	-ull	Padilla	Alex	Councilmember	Yes	1	638	638	100.0%	
	4/11/2017	Burbank	CITY COUNCIL		-ull	Frutos	Robert "Bob"	Retired Policeman/Councilmember	Yes	4	6,300	21,036		
						Springer	Sharon	Non Profit Administration	No	4	5,666	21,036		
						Gordon	David	Incumbent	Yes	4	5,287	21,036		
						Guillen	Juan	Small Business Owner	No	4	3,783	21,036	18.0%	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

County	Date	City	Office	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	Candidate's Ballot Designation	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES		PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES	4/11/2017	Cerritos	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Hu	Grace	Business Owner	No	7	3,376	14,152	23.9%	Yes
(continued)						Yokoyama	Frank Aurelio	Real Estate Attorney	No	7	3,069	14,152	21.7%	Yes
						Vo	Chuong	Police Officer	No	7	3,034	14,152	21.4%	No
						Barrows	Bruce W.	Retired	No	7	2,200	14,152	15.5%	No
						Ramachandran	Anantha	Technology Consultant	No	7	1,435	14,152	10.1%	No
						Havice	Sally M.	Businesswoman/Educator	No	7	652	14,152	4.6%	No
						Verma	Ashish Kumar	Software Chief Technologist	No	7	386	14,152	2.7%	No
		Monrovia	CITY CLERK		Full	Atkins	Alice D.	City Clerk	Yes	1	2,178	2,178	100.0%	Yes
			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Spicer	Larry J.	Incumbent/Retired	Yes	3	1,708	3,939	43.4%	Yes
						Blackburn	Alexander C.	Attorney/Councilmember	Yes	3	1,480	3,939	37.6%	Yes
						DeCosta	Clifford	Retired Peace Officer	No	3	751	3,939	19.1%	No
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Baker	Stephen R.	City Treasurer	Yes	1	2,165	2,165	100.0%	Yes
			MAYOR		Full	Adams	Tom	Mayor	Yes	2	1,941	2,304	84.2%	Yes
		-				Harris	Mark	Radio Show Host	No	2	363	2,304	15.8%	No
		Vernon	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Ybarra	Melissa	Incumbent	Yes	1	39	39	100.0%	Yes
	4/18/2017	Compton	CITY ATTORNEY		Full	Comwell	Craig J.	Compton City Attorney	Yes	2	4,175	6,512	64.1%	Yes
						Musante	Marcus	Attorney	No	2	2,296	6,512	35.3%	No
			CITY CLERK		Full	Godwin	Alita L.	Compton City Clerk	Yes	2	3,514	6,567	53.5%	Yes
						Zurita	Satra D.	Trustee, Gov Board Mbr, Compton Unified	No	2	3,028	6,567	46.1%	No
			CITY COUNCIL	2	Full	Galvan	Isaac	Councilman/ Auxiliary Firefighter	Yes	4	757	1,276	59.3%	Yes
						Hamade	Al	Businessman/Entrepreneur	No	4	189	1,276	14.8%	No
						lvey	Bill	Retired Auditor	No	4	178	1,276	13.9%	No
						Venters	Jackie	Businesswoman	No	4	147	1,276	11.5%	No
				3	Full	McCoy	Tana L.	Appointed City Councilmember	Yes	5	819	2,174	37.7%	Runoff
						Carlos	Tomas	Architectural Engineering Associate	No	5	649	2,174	29.9%	Runoff
						Ray	Robert L.	Retired Business Owner	No	5	279	2,174	12.8%	No
						Kelly	Joyce	Journalist	No	5	241	2,174	11.1%	No
						Petit	Chris	Community Relations Commissioner	No	5	177	2,174	8.1%	No
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Sanders	Douglas	City Treasurer	Yes	3	2,965	6,485	45.7%	Runoff
						Jackson	Jasper "Jay"	Accountant	No	3	2,113	6,485	32.6%	Runoff
						Davis	Jenise	Office Worker, CUSD	No	3	1,353	6,485	20.9%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

County	Date	Сіту	Office	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	Candidate's Ballot Designation	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES		PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES	4/18/2017	Compton	MAYOR		Full	Brown	Aja	Mayor/Community Developer	Yes	6	3,248	6,896	47.1%	Runoff
(continued)						Bradley	Omar	Author	No	6	1,895	6,896	27.5%	Runoff
						Hays	James	Businessman	No	6	725	6,896	10.5%	No
						Parker	Bryan O.	Victim Services Representative	No	6	368	6,896	5.3%	No
						Boone	Lynn Rodgers	Community Development Consultant	No	6	360	6,896	5.2%	No
						Green	Ernest "Scooby"	Businessman	No	6	291	6,896	4.2%	No
		Pasadena	CITY COUNCIL	7	Full	Wilson	Andy	Businessman/Appointed Councilmember	Yes	2	1,793	3,550	50.5%	Yes
						Hosp	Phil	Securities Fraud Attorney	No	2	1,757	3,550	49.5%	No
	5/16/2017	Los Angeles	CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Cedillo	Gilbert "Gil"	Los Angeles City Councilmember	Yes	2	11,415	15,936	71.6%	Yes
						Bray-Ali	Joe	Community Advocate	No	2	4,521	15,936	28.4%	No
				7	Full	Rodriguez	Monica	Los Angeles Commissioner/Businesswoman	No	2	9,588	17,875	53.6%	Yes
						Torossian	Karo	Chief Environmental Planner	No	2	8,287	17,875	46.4%	No
		Redondo Beach	CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Nehrenheim	Nils	Businessman and Lifeguard	No	2	2,115	3,480	60.8%	Yes
						Barbee	Martha	Redondo Beach Councilwoman	Yes	2	1,365	3,480	39.2%	No
	6/6/2017	Commerce	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Mendoza	Leonard	School District Painter	No	11	522	4,508	11.6%	Yes
						Soria	John	Law Enforcement Technician	No	11	508	4,508	11.3%	Yes
						Altamirano	Ivan	Mayor/Business Owner	Yes	11	475	4,508	10.5%	Yes
						Baca Del Rio	Tina	Mayor Pro Tem	Yes	11	450	4,508	10.0%	No
						Valencia	Jaime	Accounting/Audit Associate	No	11	440	4,508	9.8%	No
						Robles	Denise	Nonprofit Advisory Boardmember	No	11	423	4,508	9.4%	No
						Peraza	Johncito "John"	Loan Analysis Representative	No	11	406	4,508	9.0%	No
						Leon	Lilia R.	Council Member	Yes	11	390	4,508	8.7%	No
						Munoz	Sylvia	Retired	No	11	365	4,508	8.1%	No
						Romero	Randy "Sax"	Commercial Transportation	No	11	321	4,508	7.1%	No
						Calderon	Charlie	Businessman	No	11	208	4,508	4.6%	No
		Compton	CITY COUNCIL	3	Full	McCoy	Tana L.	Appointed City Councilmember	Yes	2	1,178	1,683	70.0%	Yes
						Carlos	Tomas	Architectural Engineering Associate	No	2	505	1,683	30.0%	No
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Sanders	Douglas	City Treasurer	Yes	2	2,842	5,264	54.0%	Yes
						Jackson	Jasper "Jay"	Accountant	No	2	2,422	5,264	46.0%	No
			MAYOR		Full	Brown	Aja	Mayor/Community Developer	Yes	2	3,339	5,548	60.2%	Yes
						Bradley	Omar	Author	No	2	2,209	5,548	39.8%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

				Diotriot/	Tenuos	CANDIDATE'S	CANDIDATE'S	Candidate's	INC-	NUMBER	VOTES FOR	TOTAL	Deposit	
COUNTY	Date	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	OFFICE	LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	BALLOT DESIGNATION	UMB- ENT	OF CAN- DIDATES	CAN- DIDATES		PERCENT OF VOTE	
LOS ANGELES	11/7/2017	7 Hermosa Beach	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Armato	Stacey	City Councilmember/Attorney	Yes	7	2,288	9,809	23.3%	Yes
(continued)						Campbell	Mary	Consultant/School Boardmember	Yes	7	1,934	9,809	19.7%	Yes
						Fangary	H.S.	Councilmember/Attorney/Engineer	No	7	1,867	9,809	19.0%	Yes
						Tucker	Peter C.	Senior Building Inspector	No	7	1,467	9,809	15.0%	No
						Larson	Trent Bernard	Corporate Account Manager	No	7	1,076	9,809	11.0%	No
						McCool	Matt	Consultant/Commissioner/Reservist	No	7	824	9,809	8.4%	No
						Cenci	Christopher	Small Business Owner	No	7	353	9,809	3.6%	No
		La Habra Heights	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Bergman	Brian	Councilmember/Retired Manager	Yes	5	711	2,902	24.5%	Yes
						Klingfus	Carey	Businessman	No	5	574	2,902	19.8%	Yes
						Zezula	Norm	Retired Technical Director	No	5	572	2,902	19.7%	Yes
						Higgins	Michael	City Councilman	Yes	5	524	2,902	18.1%	No
						Miller	Kyle	Councilmember/Executive Director	Yes	5	521	2,902	18.0%	No
		Rancho Palos Verdes	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Cruikshank	John	Planning Commissioner/Engineer	No	6	3,306	10,450	31.6%	Yes
						Alegria	Eric	Finance Boardmember/Businessman	No	6	2,399	10,450	23.0%	Yes
						Emenhiser	Dave	Businessman/City Commissioner	No	6	2,125	10,450	20.3%	No
						Johnson	Krista	Executive/Financial Boardmember	No	6	1,477	10,450	14.1%	No
						Kelly	Ben	Student	No	6	666	10,450	6.4%	No
						Vitalich	Matthew	Writer	No	6	477	10,450	4.6%	No
		San Marino Hills	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Romey	Gretchen Shepard	Attorney/Volunteer/Parent	No	7	1,875	7,721	24.3%	Yes
						Ude	Ken	Businessman	No	7	1,686	7,721	21.8%	Yes
						Jakubowski	Susan	Retired County Administrator	No	7	1,685	7,721	21.8%	Yes
						Lo	Calvin	Real Estate Consultant	No	7	870	7,721	11.3%	No
						Chen	Hai-Sou	Dentist	No	7	598	7,721	7.7%	No
						Kwong	Scott	Business Owner	No	7	563	7,721	7.3%	No
						Sun	Eugene C.	Real Estate Broker	No	7	444	7,721	5.8%	No
		South El Monte	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Acosta	Manuel "Manny"	College Instructor	No	5	497	1,671	29.7%	Yes
						Retamoza	Gracie	College Counselor	No	5	407	1,671	24.4%	Yes
						lli	Willhans "Hans"	School Teacher	No	5	344	1,671	20.6%	No
						Zepeda	Gilbert "Gil"	Regional Sales Manager	No	5	253	1,671	15.1%	No
						Pardo	Raul	No Ballot Designation	No	5	170	1,671	10.2%	No
					Short	Angel	Richard	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	1	721	721	100.0%	Yes

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

County	Date	Сіту	Office	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES		PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTEI
MARIN	11/7/2017	Fairfax	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Ackerman	Bruce	Planning Commissioner/Engineer	No	6	1,289	6,523	19.8%	Yes
						Reed	John	Town Councilmember	Yes	6	1,287	6,523	19.7%	Yes
						Coler	Barbara	Incumbent	Yes	6	1,209	6,523	18.5%	Yes
						Swift	Cindy	Retired IT Manager	No	6	974	6,523	14.9%	No
						Benson	Deborah	Accounting Services Proprietor	No	6	948	6,523	14.5%	No
						Cornwell	Charles	Network Systems Administrator	No	6	800	6,523	12.3%	No
		Larkspur	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Haroff	Kevin	Councilmember/Environmental Attorney	Yes	3	1,955	5,871	33.3%	Yes
						Way	Catherine	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,929	5,871	32.9%	Yes
						Hillmer	Daniel	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,894	5,871	32.3%	Yes
		Novato	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Athas	Denise	Incumbent	Yes	3	7,401	19,429	38.1%	Yes
						Eklund	Pat	Novato Councilmember	Yes	3	7,159	19,429	36.8%	Yes
						Morrison	Kevin J.	Communication Strategist	No	3	4,706	19,429	24.2%	No
		San Anselmo	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Colbert	Brian L.	Entrepreneur	No	5	2,041	7,804	26.2%	Yes
						Coleman	Kay	Incumbent	Yes	5	1,859	7,804	23.8%	Yes
						Wright	John	Incumbent	Yes	5	1,828	7,804	23.4%	Yes
						Burdo	Steve	San Anselmo Parks Commissioner	No	5	1,639	7,804	21.0%	No
						King	Tom	Writer	No	5	407	7,804	5.2%	No
		Sausalito	CITY COUNCIL		Short	Cleveland-Knowles	Susan	Planning Commissioner/Attorney	No	3	1,157	2,463	47.0%	Yes
						Werner	Bill	Planning Commissioner/Architect	No	3	686	2,463	27.9%	No
						Blaustein	Melissa	International Advocacy Director	No	3	615	2,463	25.0%	No
		Tiburon	CITY COUNCIL		Short	Thier	Holli	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	3	1,223	3,412	35.8%	Yes
						Kulik	David	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	3	1,209	3,412	35.4%	Yes
						Ryan	Jack	Bus Program Manager	No	3	955	3,412	28.0%	No
MARIPOSA	No City Co	ontests												
MENDOCINO	No City Co	ontests												
MERCED	No City Co	ontests												
MODOC	No City Co	ontests												
MONO	No City Co	ontests												
MONTEREY	1/24/2017	Greenfield	MAYOR	1	Short	Oliveragarcia	Jesus	Education/Teacher	No	2	658	966	68.1%	Yes
						Santibanez	Leah	Greenfield Councilwoman/Businesswoman	No	2	308	966	31.9%	No
NAPA	No City Co	ontests												
NEVADA	No City Co	ontests												
ORANGE	No City Co													

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>r</sup>Candidate to be elected if recall measure passes.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

County	Date	Сіту	Office	DISTRICT/ SEAT		CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES		PERCENT OF VOTE	FLECTE
PLACER	No City Co		OTTIOL	OLAI	OITIOL	LAGITAME	TITOTIVANIE	DALLOT DEGICIATION	LINI	DIDATES	DIDATES	OAGT	OI VOIL	LLLOIL
PLUMAS	No City Co													
RIVERSIDE		Moreno Valley	CITY COUNCIL	4	Full	Cabrera	Ulises	Youth Program Director	No	4	713	1,928	37.0%	Yes
		,				Barnard	Cheylynda	Social Worker	No	4	458	1,928	23.8%	No
						Diaz	Hector	Small Business Owner	No	4	455	1,928	23.6%	No
						Baker, II	James C.	Parks Recreation Commissioner	No	4	302	1,928	15.7%	No
		Riverside	CITY COUNCIL	2	Full	Melendrez	Andy	City Councilman / Businessman	Yes	3	2,461	4,311	57.1%	Yes
						Dawson	Kevin M.	Community Volunteer	No	3	1,097	4,311	25.4%	No
						Harris	Jon Scott	Pastor/Business Owner	No	3	753	4,311	17.5%	No
				4	Full	Conder	Chuck	Retired USAF Officer	No	2	3,050	5,633	54.1%	Yes
						Davis	Paul	Councilmember/Small Businessman	Yes	2	2,583	5,633	45.9%	No
				6	Full	Perry	Jim	Councilman/Retired Policeman	Yes	2	2,566	3,884	66.1%	Yes
						Elizalde	Rafael	District Director	No	2	1,318	3,884	33.9%	No
	11/7/2017	Blythe	CITY CLERK		Full	Sutterfield-Crecelius	Mallory	Incumbent	Yes	1	1,008	1,008	100.0%	Yes
			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Rodriguez	Johnny	Sheriff's Lieutenant	No	4	917	2,838	32.3%	Yes
						Halby, III	Joseph M.	Business Owner	No	4	783	2,838	27.6%	Yes
						De Coninck	Joseph "Joey"	Farmer/Businessman	Yes	4	694	2,838	24.5%	Yes
						Bolliger	Mark A.	Health Technician	No	4	444	2,838	15.6%	No
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Elms	Christa Marie	Treasurer	Yes	1	1,017	1,017	100.0%	Yes
		Palm Springs	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Middleton	Lisa	Palm Springs Planning Commissioner	No	6	7,042	22,752	31.0%	Yes
						Holstege	Christy Gilbert	Attorney/Palm Springs Commissioner	No	6	6,833	22,752	30.0%	Yes
						Hampton	Henry	Director Corporate Development	No	6	3,005	22,752	13.2%	No
						Stone	Robert Julian	Author/Journalist	No	6	2,684	22,752	11.8%	No
						Deertrack	Judy	Land Use Consultant	No	6	2,182	22,752	9.6%	No
						Flood	Glenn	Retired Navy Commander	No	6	1,006	22,752	4.4%	No
SACRAMENTO	No City Co	ontests												
SAN BENITO	No City Co	ontests												
SAN BERNARDING	No City Co	ontests												
SAN DIEGO	No City Co	ontests												
SAN FRANCISCO	No City Co	ontests												
SAN JOAQUIN	No City Co	ontests							-					
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No City Co	ontests												

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

COUNTY	Date	Сіту	Office	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	Candidate's Ballot Designation	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT	ELECTED
SAN MATEO	11/7/2017	Brisbane	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Conway	W. Clarke	Incumbent	Yes	6	669	3,071	21.8%	Yes
						Lentz	Cliff	Incumbent	Yes	6	621	3,071	20.2%	Yes
						Cunningham	Karen	Small Business Owner	No	6	571	3,071	18.6%	Yes
						Munir	Jameel	Planning Commissioner	No	6	457	3,071	14.9%	No
						Dillworth	Dana	Educator	No	6	427	3,071	13.9%	No
						Verreos	Tony	Insurance Broker/Agent	No	6	326	3,071	10.6%	No
		Burlingame	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Keighran	Ann	Councilmember/Legislative Aid	Yes	4	3,654	12,239	29.9%	Yes
						Ortiz	Ricardo	Incumbent	Yes	4	3,645	12,239	29.8%	Yes
						Brownrigg	Michael	Vice Mayor/Investor	Yes	4	3,517	12,239	28.7%	Yes
						Duncan	Steve	Assistant Retail Manager	No	4	1,423	12,239	11.6%	No
		San Bruno	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Davis	Laura	Customer Service Partner	No	3	3,617	8,726	41.5%	Yes
						Salazar	Michael	IT Manager	No	3	3,011	8,726	34.5%	Yes
						Durazo	Marco	Non-Profit Management	No	3	2,098	8,726	24.0%	No
			MAYOR		Full	Medina	Rico E.	City Councilmember/Manager	Yes	2	4,497	5,143	87.4%	Yes
						Zink	Annette	Property Manager	No	2	646	5,143	12.6%	No
		San Mateo	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Goethals	Joe	Council Member	Yes	7	7,018	31,358	22.4%	Yes
						Bonilla	Rick	Incumbent	Yes	7	6,376	31,358	20.3%	Yes
						Rodriguez	Eric	Education Technology CEO	No	7	6,266	31,358	20.0%	Yes
						Bonini	Chelsea	School Board President	No	7	5,347	31,358	17.1%	No
						Drechsler	Charlie	Arborist/Planning Commissioner	No	7	2,894	31,358	9.2%	No
						Newsom, Jr.	Robert G.	Businessperson/Parent	No	7	2,314	31,358	7.4%	No
						De Paula	Mark	Retired Businessman	No	7	1,143	31,358	3.6%	No
SANTA BARBARA	11/7/2017	Santa Barbara	CITY COUNCIL	4	Full	Sneddon	Kristen	Professor/Scientist/Businesswoman	No	3	3,236	6,295	51.4%	Yes
						Higgins	Jay D.	Chairman, Planning Commission	No	3	2,264	6,295	36.0%	No
						Scafide	James	Business Attorney/Educator	No	3	795	6,295	12.6%	No
				5	Full	Friedman	Eric	Boardmember, Library Foundation	No	2	2,700	4,798	56.3%	Yes
						McGrew	Warner R.	Retired Fire Chief	No	2	2,098	4,798	43.7%	No
				6	Full	Hart	Gregg	City Councilmember	Yes	3	1,815	3,271	55.5%	Yes
						Ucciferri	Jack	Entrepreneur	No	3	954	3,271		
						Solis	Aaron	Teacher/Educator/Coach	No	3	502	3,271	15.3%	No
			MAYOR		Full	Murillo	Cathy	City Councilmember	No	5	6,881	24,250		
						Hotchkiss	Frank	City Councilmember/Businessman	No	5	5,025	24,250		No
						Conklin	Hal	Retired Environmental Executive	No	5	4,891	24,250		
						Martinez	Angel R.	Retired CEO	No	5	4,779	24,250		
						White	•			5	, -	,		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

			Diaminat	Tenues	Owen rede	O	Output	Inc-	Number	VOTES FOR	TOTAL	Denosus	
COUNTY	Date CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	UMB- ENT	OF CAN- DIDATES	CAN- DIDATES		PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SANTA CLARA	No City Contests												
SANTA CRUZ	No City Contests												
SHASTA	No City Contests												
SIERRA	No City Contests												
SISKIYOU	11/7/2017 Fort Jones	CITY COUNCIL		Short	Flynn	Chris R.	Business Owner	No	3	52	133	39.1%	Yes
					Gepford	Mark A.	No Ballot Designation	No	3	50	133	37.6%	No
					Morgan	Julie	No Ballot Designation	No	3	31	133	23.3%	No
SOLANO	No City Contests												_
SONOMA	6/6/2017 Healdsburg	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Gold	Leah	Educational Consultant	No	4	1,590	2,958	53.8%	Yes
					Plass	Gary W.	Appointed City Councilmember	No	4	982	2,958	33.2%	No
					Whisney	Erica	Educator/Behavior Analyst	No	4	318	2,958	10.8%	No
					Fabian	Rosie	Care Provider	No	4	51	2,958	1.7%	No
STANISLAUS	11/7/2017 Modesto	CITY COUNCIL	2	Full	Madrigal	Tony	Modesto City Councilmember	Yes	3	1,405	2,040	68.9%	Yes
					Mejia	Homero	Non-profit Director	No	3	511	2,040	25.0%	No
					Rodriguez	Jon	Community College Trustee	No	3	107	2,040	5.2%	No
			4	Full	Zoslocki	Bill	Councilmember/Small Businessman	Yes	2	3,267	4,135	79.0%	Yes
					Ray	Tyler	Realtor	No	2	841	4,135	20.3%	No
			5	Full	Kenoyer	Jenny	Councilmember	Yes	2	3,011	4,627	65.1%	Yes
					Day	Joseph P.	Principal Bioinformatics Scientist	No	2	1,585	4,627	34.3%	No
SUTTER	No City Contests												
TEHAMA	No City Contests												
TRINITY	No City Contests												
TULARE	No City Contests												
TUOLUMNE	No City Contests												
VENTURA	No City Contests												
YOLO	No City Contests												
YUBA	No City Contests												

TABLE 2.2 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR CITY OFFICES, 2017

		City Attorney		City Clerk		City Controller		City Council		City Treasurer		Mayor		Total <sup>1,2</sup>	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	100.0	3	100.0	9	100.0	1	85.7	90	100.0	12	70.0	7	87.1	122
	Lose	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	14.3	15	0.0	0	30.0	3	12.9	18
	Total	100.0	3	100.0	9	100.0	1	100.0	105	100.0	12	100.0	10	100.0	140
Non-	Win	0.0	0	33.3	2	0.0	0	20.7	62	30.0	3	13.2	5	20.3	72
Incumbent Candidates	Lose	100.0	1	66.7	4	0.0	0	79.3	237	70.0	7	86.8	33	79.7	282
Candidates	Total	100.0	1	100.0	6	0.0	0	100.0	299	100.0	10	100.0	38	100.0	354
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	100.0	3	81.8	9	100.0	1	59.2	90	80.0	12	58.3	7	62.9	122
	Non-Incumbent	0.0	0	18.2	2	0.0	0	40.8	62	20.0	3	41.7	5	37.1	72
	Total	100.0	3	100.0	11	100.0	1	100.0	152	100.0	15	100.0	12	Percent  87.1  12.9  100.0  20.3  79.7  100.0  62.9	194
	Incumbent	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	6.0	15	0.0	0	8.3	3	6.0	18
Losing Candidates	Non-Incumbent	100.0	1	100.0	4	0.0	0	94.0	237	100.0	7	91.7	33	94.0	282
Candidates	Total	100.0	1	100.0	4	0.0	0	100.0	252	100.0	7	100.0	36	100.0	300
	Incumbent	75.0	3	60.0	9	100.0	1	26.0	105	54.5	12	20.8	10	28.3	140
All Candidates	Non-Incumbent	25.0	1	40.0	6	0.0	0	74.0	299	45.5	10	79.2	38	71.7	354
Garididates	Total	100.0	4	100.0	15	100.0	1	100.0	404	100.0	22	100.0	48	100.0	494